arXiv:1207.2274v2 [math.AG] 19 Jul 2012

CRITICAL POINTS OF MASTER FUNCTIONS AND INTEGRABLE HIERARCHIES

A. VARCHENKO[⋆] AND D. WRIGHT

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA

Abstract. We consider the population of critical points generated from the trivial critical point of the master function with no variables and associated with the trivial representation of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$. We show that the critical points of this population define rational solutions of the equations of the mKdV hierarchy associated with $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$.

We also construct critical points from suitable N-tuples of tau-functions. The construction is based on a Wronskian identity for tau-functions. In particular, we construct critical points from suitable N-tuples of Schur polynomials and prove a Wronskian identity for Schur polynomials.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Master functions.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra with invariant scalar product $(\,,\,)$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ the Cartan subalgebra, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ simple roots, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ dominant integral weights, $k_1, \dots, k_N$ nonnegative integers, $k := k_1 + \dots + k_N$.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Consider $\mathbb{C}^k$ with coordinates u collected into N groups, the j-th group consists of k_j variables,

$$u = (u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(N)}), \qquad u^{(j)} = (u_1^{(j)}, \dots, u_{k_j}^{(j)}).$$

The *master function* is a multivalued function on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\Phi(u,z) = \sum_{a<b} (\Lambda_a, \Lambda_b) \log(z_a - z_b) - \sum_{a,i,j} (\alpha_j, \Lambda_a) \log(u_i^{(j)} - z_a) +$$
$$+ \sum_{j<j'} \sum_{i,i'} (\alpha_j, \alpha_{j'}) \log(u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j')}) + \sum_j \sum_{i<i'} (\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \log(u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j)}),$$

with singularities at the places where the arguments of the logarithms are equal to zero. A point in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ can be interpreted as a collection of particles in $\mathbb{C}$: $z_a, u_i^{(j)}$. A particle z_a has weight Λ_a, a particle $u_i^{(j)}$ has weight $-\alpha_j$. The particles interact pairwise. The interaction of two particles is proportional to the scalar product of the weights. The master function is the "total energy" of the collection of particles.

Notice that all scalar products are integers. So the master function is the logarithm of a rational function. From a "physical" point of view, all interactions are integer multiples of a certain unit of measurement. This is important for what will follow.

The variables u are the *true* variables, variables z are *parameters*. We will think that the positions of z particles are fixed and u particles can move.

[⋆] Supported in part by NSF grant DMS–1101508

There are "global" characteristics of this situation,

$$I(z,\kappa)=\int e^{\Phi(u,z)/\kappa}A(u,z)du,$$

where $A(u,z)$ is a suitable measuring function, κ a parameter, and there are "local" characteristics – critical points of the master function with respect to u variables,

$$d_u\Phi(u,z)=0.$$

A critical point is an equilibrium position of u particles for fixed positions of z particles.

Examples of master functions associated with $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_2$ were considered by Stieltjes and Heine in 19th century, see for example [Sz]. Master functions we introduced in [SV] to construct integral representations for solutions of the KZ equations, see also [V1, V2].

1.2. **KZ equations and Gaudin model.** Consider the tensor product $L=\otimes_{a=1}^{n}L_{\Lambda_a}$ of irreducible highest weight representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weights $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$. The KZ equations for an L-valued function $I(z_1,\dots,z_n)$ is a system of differential equations of the form

$$\kappa\frac{\partial I}{\partial z_a}=H_a(z)I,\qquad a=1,\dots,n,$$

where κ is a parameter of the equation and H_a are some linear operators on L defined in terms of the $\mathfrak{g}$-action and called *Gaudin Hamiltonians.* The KZ equations were introduced in CFT, see [KZ].

In [SV] the KZ equations were identified with a suitable Gauss-Manin connection and solved in multidimensional hypergeometric integrals.

More precisely, choose nonnegative integers $k_1,\dots,k_N$, consider the associated master function $\Phi(u,z)$. There is a rational L-valued function $A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u,z)$, called the *weight function*, such that

$$I(z,\kappa)=\int_\gamma e^{\Phi(u,z)/\kappa}A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u,z)du$$

is a solution of the KZ equation. Here γ is an element of a suitable homology group. Different γ give different solutions of the KZ equations.

The KZ equations define a flat connection for any κ. In particular, the Gaudin Hamiltonians commute, $[H_a(z),H_b(z)]=0$.

The KZ equations are closely related to the quantum integrable Gaudin model on L, see [G1, G2]. In the Gaudin model, one considers the commutative subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(L)$ generated by the Gaudin Hamiltonians. The subalgebra is called the *Bethe algebra.* The problem is to diagonalize the operators of the subalgebra.

The integral representations for solutions give a method to diagonalize the Gaudin Hamiltonians. The method is called the *Bethe ansatz.* Let (u^0,z) be a critical point of the master function with respect to u, then the vector $A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u^0,z)$ is an eigenvector of the Gaudin Hamiltonians,

$$H_a(z)A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u^0,z)=\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z_a}(u^0,z)A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u^0,z),\qquad a=1,\dots,n,$$

with eigenvalues described by the master function, see [BF, RV, V3]. The natural (Shapovalov) norm of the Bethe vector is given by the Hessian of the master function at the critical point,

$$S(A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u^0,z),A_{k_1,\dots,k_N}(u^0,z))=\mathrm{Hess}_u\,\Phi(u^0,z),$$

see [MV3, V3, V4].

In a reasonable way one can identify the algebra of functions on the critical set with the Bethe algebra, generated by the Gaudin Hamiltonians, see [MTV1, MTV2].

The situation is analogous to the situation in quantum cohomology and classical mirror symmetry in Givental's spirit. There one has the quantum differential equation depending on a parameter κ, which is a flat connection for every value of κ. As $\kappa\to 0$ the asymptotics of the quantum differential equation are described by idempotents of the quantum cohomology algebra. The solutions of the quantum differential equation can be represented by oscillatory integrals. In a reasonable way the quantum cohomology algebra can be identified with the algebra of functions on the critical set of the phase function of the oscillatory integral.

1.3. **Generation of new critical points.** Having a critical point (u,z) of a master function one can generate new critical points by changing u and not changing z.

Consider the master function Φ associated with $\mathfrak{g}$, $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$, $k_1,\dots,k_N$. Assume that (u,z) is a critical point of Φ with respect to u variables, $u=(u^{(1)},\dots,u^{(N)})$, $u^{(j)}=(u_1^{(j)},\dots,u_{k_j}^{(j)})$, $j=1,\dots,N$.

Theorem 1.1 ([ScV, MV1])**.** *Choose any one group $u^{(j)}$ of these numbers (particles). Then there exists a unique algebraic one-parameter deformation $u^{(j)}(c)$, $c\in\mathbb{P}^1$, of that group, such that $(u^{(1)},\dots,u^{(j)}(c),\dots,u^{(N)},z)$ is a critical point. For exactly one value $c\in\mathbb{P}^1$, the number of particles in the group $u^{(j)}(c)$ drops. For that c some particles of the group disappear at infinity.*

All points of this one-parameter family but one are critical points of the same master function, and the one with the smaller number of particles is a critical point of the master function with a smaller number of variables.

This theorem gives us a way to generate critical points. Starting with a critical point we can generate N one-parameter families of critical points. Then we may apply the same procedure to each of the obtained critical points and so on. The set of all critical points obtained from a given one is called the *population* of critical points [MV1].

Question: What does a population look like?

Theorem 1.2. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra, then every population is isomorphic to the flag variety of the Langlands dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^L$.*

This theorem was proved in [MV1] for the Lie algebras of type A, B, C and extended to other simple Lie algebras in [MV2, F].

For example, for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_2$ each critical point generates a projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ of critical points. For $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_3$ each population is isomorphic to the variety $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ of complete flags in $\mathbb{C}^3$. The steps of the generation procedure correspond to the building of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ from Schubert cells labeled by elements of the symmetric group Σ_3. We start with the zero-dimensional cell C_{id} corresponding to the initial critical point. Then we add two one-dimensional cells C_{s_1}, C_{s_2}.

Then add two two-dimensional cells $C_{s_1 s_2}$, $C_{s_2 s_1}$, and finally add one three-dimensional cell $C_{s_2 s_1 s_2} = C_{s_1 s_2 s_1}$.

Question: What is the number of populations originated from critical points of a given master function?

Here is an informal answer: *The number of populations originated from critical points of the function* $\Phi(\,\cdot\,, z)$*, associated with* $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, k_1, \dots, k_N$*, does not depend on* z *and equals the multiplicity of the representation* L_{Λ_∞} *in* $\otimes_{a=1}^n L_{\Lambda_a}$*, where* Λ_∞ *is the highest weight in the orbit of the weight* $\sum_{a=1}^n \Lambda_a - \sum_{j=1}^N k_j \alpha_j$ *under the shifted action of the Weyl group.*

A precise statement for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_N$ can be found in [MV1], [MTV3]. For other simple Lie algebras a proof of this statement is not written yet. This statement on the number of populations can be considered as a relation between linear algebra (the multiplicity of a representation) and geometry (the number of populations).

We have observed above that master functions are related to interesting objects and have nice properties. Now we will explain how the critical points of master functions are related to integrable hierarchies. We expect that these relations are more general than the results that are reported in this paper, see the statement in Section 1.6.

1.4. **Generation for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$.** In this paper we consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$, $n = 0$. Then the master function does not depend on z and is a function of $u = (u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(N)})$, $u^{(j)} = (u_1^{(j)}, \dots, u_{k_j}^{(j)})$ only,

$$\Phi(u) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{i<i'} \log(u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j)}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\sum_{i,i'} \log(u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j+1)}) - \sum_{i,i'} \log(u_i^{(N)} - u_{i'}^{(1)}). \tag{1.1}$$

Given u, introduce an N-tuple of polynomials of a new variable x,

$$y = (y_1(x), \dots, y_N(x)), \qquad y^j(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k_j}(x - u_i^{(j)}).$$

For functions $f(x), g(x)$, denote

$$\mathrm{Wr}(f, g) = f(x)g'(x) - f'(x)g(x)$$

the Wronskian determinant. An N-tuple of polynomials $y = (y_1(x), \dots, y_N(x))$ is called *generic* if each polynomial has no multiple roots and for any i the polynomials y_i and y_{i+1} have no common roots. Here $y_{N+1} = y_1$.

Theorem 1.3 ([MV1])**.** *A generic* N*-tuple* y *represents a critical point if and only if for any* $j = 1, \dots, N$*, there exists a polynomial* $\tilde{y}_j(x)$ *satisfying*

$$\mathrm{Wr}(y_j, \tilde{y}_j) = y_{j-1} y_{j+1}. \tag{1.2}$$

For $j = 1$*, this is* $\mathrm{Wr}(y_1, \tilde{y}_1) = y_N y_2$ *and for* $j = N$*, this is* $\mathrm{Wr}(y_N, \tilde{y}_N) = y_{N-1} y_1$.

Equation (1.2) is a first order inhomogeneous differential equation with respect to $\tilde{y}_j$. Its solutions are

$$\tilde{y}_j = y_j \int \frac{y_{j-1} y_{j+1}}{y_j^2} dx + c y_j,$$

where c is any number. For arbitrary polynomials y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, y_j, the integral will contain logarithms. The integral is a rational function if the residues of the ratio are all equal to zero. The requirement that the residues are all equal to zero are exactly the critical point equations for the master function.

Theorem 1.4 ([MV1]). *If a generic N-tuple y represents a critical point, then for almost all $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the N-tuple $(y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_j, \dots, y_N)$ represents a critical point. The set of exceptional c is finite and consists of all c for which the N-tuple y is not generic.*

We get a one parameter family of critical points parameterized by $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$. For exactly one value c the degree of the j-th polynomial drops,

$$\tilde{k}_j + k_j - 1 = k_{j-1} + k_{j+1},$$

where $k_j, \tilde{k}_j$ are possible degrees of the j-th polynomial.

Theorem 1.4 describes the generation procedure of critical points for the master function (1.1), this generation was described in general terms in Theorem 1.1.

Let us choose a starting critical point to generate a population of critical points. Namely, let us choose the starting N-tuple to be

$$y^{\emptyset} = (1, \dots, 1).$$

Each of the polynomials of the tuple does not have roots. The tuple $y^{\emptyset}$ represents the critical point of the master function with no variables. Choose a sequence of integers $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$, $j_a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and apply to the tuple $y^{\emptyset}$ the sequence of generations at the indices of J. As a result we will obtain an m-parameter family of N-tuples of polynomials

$$y^J(x, c) \,=\, (y_1(x, c), \dots, y_N(x, c)),$$

depending on m integration constants $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$.

Example. Let $N = 3$, $J = (1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, ...)$. Then $y^{\emptyset} = (1, 1, 1)$ is transformed to

$$(x + c_1, 1, 1),$$

then to

$$(x + c_1, \frac{(x + c_1)^2}{2} + c_2, 1),$$

then to

$$(x + c_1, \frac{(x + c_1)^2}{2} + c_2, \frac{(x + c_1)^4}{8} + \frac{(x + c_1)^2}{2} c_2 + c_3),$$

and so on.

The triple $(1, 1, 1)$ represents the critical point of the master function with no variables. The triple $(x + c_1, 1, 1)$ represents critical points of the master function of one variable, namely, the constant function $\Phi : u_1^{(1)} \mapsto 1$. All points of the line are critical points. The triple $(x + c_1, \frac{(x+c_1)^2}{2} + c_2, 1)$ represents the critical points of the master function

$$\Phi = \log \left(\frac{(u_1^{(2)} - u_2^{(2)})^2}{(u_1^{(2)} - u_1^{(1)})(u_2^{(2)} - u_1^{(1)})} \right).$$

1.5. **Miura opers and mKdV hierarchy.** An $N \times N$ *Miura oper* is a differential operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{d}{dx} + \Lambda + V, \tag{1.3}$$

where $V = \operatorname{diag}(f_1(x), \dots, f_N(x))$,

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.4}$$

and λ is a parameter of the differential operator. Drinfeld and Sokolov in 1984 in [DS] considered the space of Miura opers and defined on it an infinite sequence of commuting flows $\partial_{t_r}, r = 1, 2, \dots$ called the *mKdV hierarchy* of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$-type.

More precisely, for a Miura oper $\mathcal{L}$ there exists $T = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i(x)\Lambda^i$, where $T_i(x)$ are diagonal matrices, such that $T^{-1}\mathcal{L}T$ has the form $\frac{d}{dx} + \Lambda + \sum_{i\leqslant 0} b_i(x)\Lambda^i$, where $b_i(x)$ are scalar functions, see [DS]. Then for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_r} = [\mathcal{L}, \left(T\Lambda^r T^{-1}\right)^+] \tag{1.5}$$

is called the r-th mKdV equation. The notation $()^+$ has the following meaning: given $M = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} d_i\Lambda^i$ with diagonal matrices d_i, then $M^+ = \sum_{i\geqslant 0} d_i\Lambda^i$.

Equation (1.5) defines vector fields ∂_{t_r} on the space of Miura opers, the vector fields commute.

1.6. **Main result.** To every N-tuple y of polynomials we assign the Miura oper $\mathcal{L}$ with

$$V = \operatorname{diag}\left(\log'\left(\frac{y_1}{y_N}\right), \log'\left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right), \dots, \log'\left(\frac{y_N}{y_{N-1}}\right)\right).$$

For a sequence $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$, we consider the corresponding m-parameter family of critical points of the master function, represented by the family of N-tuples of polynomials $y^J(x, c)$. Let $\mathcal{L}^J(c)$ be the corresponding family of Miura opers.

In this paper we show that *this family $\mathcal{L}^J(c)$ of Miura opers is invariant under every flow of the mKdV hierarchy and is point-wise fixed by every flow ∂_{t_r} with $r > 2m$.*

This statement is proved under the assumption that J is a degree increasing sequence, see the definition in Section 3.5. This is a technical assumption which simplifies the exposition.

Our starting point was the famous paper by Adler and Moser (1978) [AM] where this theorem was proved for $N = 2$.

1.7. **Identities for Schur polynomials.** In this paper we describe two proofs of the main result. The first proof is straightforward: for any flow of the hierarchy we deform the constants of integration of the generation procedure to move the oper in the direction of the flow. This proof is similar to the proof in [AM]. The second proof uses tau-functions and, in particular, Schur polynomials. It is based on a Wronskian identity for tau-functions and, in particular, for Schur polynomials.

Schur polynomials $F_\lambda(t_1,t)$ are labeled by partitions $\lambda=(\lambda_0\geqslant\lambda_1\geqslant\dots\geqslant\lambda_n\geqslant 0)$ and are polynomials in t_1 and $t=(t_2,t_3,\dots)$. Let us define polynomials $h_i(t_1,t)$, $i=0,1,\dots$, by the relation $\exp\big(-\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_jz^j\big)=\sum_{i=0}^\infty h_iz^i$, and set $F_\lambda=\det_{i,j=0}^n\big(h_{\lambda_i-i+j}\big)$. In this paper we prove that certain 4-tuples of Schur polynomials satisfy the Wronskian identity

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{\lambda^1},F_{\lambda^2})\,=\,F_{\lambda^3}F_{\lambda^4}, \tag{1.6}$$

where Wr_{t_1} denotes the Wronskian determinant with respect to t_1. For example, $W_{t_1}(F_{(2,1)},F_{(0)})=F_{(1)}F_{(1)}$ and $\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{(4,2,1)},F_{(2,2,1)})=F_{(3,2,2,1)}F_{(2,1)}$.

We also prove that for any N, certain N-tuples of Schur polynomials

$$y(t_1,t)\,=\,(F_{\lambda^1}(t_1,t),\dots,F_{\lambda^N}(t_1,t)) \tag{1.7}$$

are such that for a fixed generic t, the tuple $y(x,t)$ represents a critical point of the master function (1.1). In other words, we show that for a fixed generic t, the roots of the polynomials $(F_{\lambda^1}(t_1,t),\dots,F_{\lambda^N}(t_1,t))$ with respect to t_1 satisfy the critical point equations (3.1). For example, for $N=3$ the triple $(F_{(1,1)},F_{(2,1,1)},F_{(1)})$ is such a triple.

1.8. **Exposition of the material.** In Section 2 we remind the construction of the mKdV and KdV hierarchies from [DS]. In Section 3 we remind the construction of the generation of critical points from [MV1]. In Section 4 we assign a Miura oper to a critical point as in [MV2] and discuss properties of this assignment. In Section 5 we formulate and prove one of the main results of the paper, Corollary 5.2. Corollary 5.2 says that the family $\mathcal{L}^J$ of Miura opers, associated with the generation of critical points in the direction of a degree increasing sequence J, is invariant with respect to all mKdV flows.

In Section 6 we discuss properties of Schur polynomials. Schur polynomials are labeled by partitions. Following [SW] we consider partitions $\lambda=(\lambda_0\geqslant\lambda_1\geqslant\dots)$ and associated subsets $S=\{s_0<s_1<\dots\}\subset\mathbb{Z}$ of virtual cardinal zero. Since partitions are in a one-to-one correspondence with subsets of virtual cardinal zero, we label Schur polynomials by subsets too. Theorem 6.10 gives a Wronskian identity for Schur polynomials associated with four suitable subsets S_1,S_2,S_3,S_4 of virtual cardinal zero:

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{S_1},F_{S_2})=F_{S_3}F_{S_4},$$

see Section 6.3. More general Wronskian identities for Schur polynomials see in Section 6.4.

We fix a natural number $N>1$ and introduce KdV subsets $S\subset\mathbb{Z}$ as subsets of virtual cardinal zero with the property $S+N\subset S$. An example is $S^\emptyset=\{0<1<\dots\}$. We introduce mKdV tuples $\boldsymbol{S}=(S_1,\dots,S_N)$ as tuples of KdV subsets with the property $S_i+1\subset S_{i+1}$ for all $i=1,\dots,N$. An example is $\boldsymbol{S}^\emptyset=(S^\emptyset,\dots,S^\emptyset)$. We denote $\mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$ the set of all mKdV tuples of subsets. For any $\boldsymbol{S}=(S_1,\dots,S_N)\in\mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$ and $i=1,\dots,N$ we define a mutation $w_i:\boldsymbol{S}\mapsto\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}=(S_1,\dots,\tilde S_i,\dots,S_N)$. The mutations $w_1,\dots,w_N$ satisfy the relations of the affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$ and define a transitive action of $\widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$, see Theorems 6.27 and 6.34.

Theorem 6.31 gives a relation of mKdV tuples of subsets with critical points. Namely, if $\boldsymbol{S}=(S_1,\dots,S_N)\in\mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$ and $(F_{S_1}(t_1,t),\dots,F_{S_N}(t_1,t))$ is the tuple of the corresponding Schur polynomials, then for a fixed generic t, the tuple $(F_{S_1}(x,t),\,\dots,F_{S_N}(x,t))$ represents a critical point of a master function. Theorem 6.32 says that every family of critical points provided by Theorem 6.31 appears as a subfamily of critical points generated from the tuple $y^\emptyset$.

Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$. Consider the differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}_S = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_N}(x,t)}{F_{S_{N-1}}(x,t)}\right)\right) \times \\ \times \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_{N-1}}(x,t)}{F_{S_{N-2}}(x,t)}\right)\right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_1}(x,t)}{F_{S_N}(x,t)}\right)\right) \tag{1.8}$$

with respect to x. Theorem 6.33 says that this differential operator depends on S_N only. Theorem 6.33 also describes the kernel of this differential operator as the span of ratios of suitable Schur polynomials.

In Section 7 following [SW] we consider the Hilbert space $H = L^2(S^1)$, the subspace H_+, which is the closure of the span of $\{z^j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$, and the set $\mathrm{Gr}_0(H)$ of all closed subspaces $W \subset H$ such that $z^q H_+ \subset W \subset z^{-q} H_+$ for some $q > 0$. We define $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_0(H)$ as the subset of subspaces of virtual dimension zero. Following [SW] we define the tau-functions $\tau_W(t_1, t)$ of subspaces $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$. The tau-functions are polynomials in t_1, t. Theorem 7.6 says that the tau-function determines W up to a finite number of possibilities.

Theorem 7.10 gives a Wronskian identity for tau-functions associated with four suitable subspaces $W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$:

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(\tau_{W_1}, \tau_{W_2}) = \mathrm{const}\ \tau_{W_3}\tau_{W_4},$$

see Section 7.4.

We fix a natural number $N > 1$ and define KdV subspaces as subspaces $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ such that $z^N W \subset W$. An example is H_+. We define mKdV tuples $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N)$ of subspace as tuples of KdV subspaces with the property $zW_i \subset W_{i+1}$ for all i. An example is $\boldsymbol{W}^\emptyset = (H_+, \dots, H_+)$.

In Section 7.6 we formulate an important theorem by G. Wilson, see [W]. Let $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$. Let $(\tau_{W_1}, \dots, \tau_{W_N})$ be the tuple of the corresponding tau-functions. Consider the Miura oper $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_W} = \frac{d}{dx} + \Lambda + V$ where

$$V = \mathrm{diag}\left(\log'\left(\frac{\tau_{W_1}(x+t_1,t)}{\tau_{W_N}(x+t_1,t)}\right), \log'\left(\frac{\tau_{W_2}(x+t_1,t)}{\tau_{W_1}(x+t_1,t)}\right), \dots, \log'\left(\frac{\tau_{W_N}(x+t_1,t)}{\tau_{W_{N-1}}(x+t_1,t)}\right)\right).$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_W$ satisfies all mKdV equations, see Theorem 7.15.

In Sections 7.8 and 7.9 we define the generation of new mKdV tuples of subspaces starting with a given mKdV tuple. Theorem 7.25 says that the generation acts transitively on the set of mKdV tuples of subspaces. Theorem 7.24 describes a relation of the generation of new mKdV tuples of subspaces and the generation of new critical points of master functions. Theorem 7.24 togethere with G. Wilson's Theorem 7.15 give a new proof of the main result of the paper, Corollary 5.2.

The authors thank B. Dubrovin, E. Frenkel, A. Lascoux, V. Schechtman, R. Stanley, G. Wilson, A. Zelevinsky for useful discussions. The first author thanks the Hausdorff Institute and IHES for hospitality. The second author thanks the Hausdorff Institute for hospitality.

2. KdV and mKdV hierarchies

2.1. **Algebra Mat.** Denote $\mathcal{B}$ the space of complex-valued functions of one variable x. Given a finite dimensional vector space U, denote $\mathcal{B}(U)$ the space of U-valued functions of x. Let $\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))$ be the algebra of formal Laurent series $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_i\lambda^i, c_i \in \mathbb{C}$, where each sum has finitely many terms with $i > 0$.

Denote Mat the algebra of $N \times N$ matrices $\sum_{i,j=1}^N c_{i,j}e_{i,j}$ with basis e_{ij}, coefficients $c_{i,j}$ in $\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))$ and the product $e_{i,j}e_{k,l} = \delta_{j,k}e_{i,l}$. Denote Diag $\subset$ Mat the subalgebra of diagonal matrices $\sum_{i=1}^N c_ie_{i,i}$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote $\mathrm{Diag}_0 \subset$ Diag the vector subspace of matrices with zero trace. Denote $\Lambda = e_{2,1} + \dots + e_{N,N-1} + \lambda e_{1,N} \in$ Mat, see (1.4).

Lemma 2.1 ([DS]). *Each element $M \in Mat$ can be uniquely presented in the form $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} d_i\Lambda^i$ with only finitely many terms $i > 0$ and all $d_i \in Diag$.*

Given $M = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} d_i\Lambda^i$ with $d_i \in$ Diag, define $M^+ = \sum_{i\geqslant 0} d_i\Lambda^i$, $M^- = \sum_{i<0} d_i\Lambda^i$, and

$$M^0 = d_0. \tag{2.1}$$

Define the following elements of Diag_0: $H_i = e_{i,i} - e_{i+1,i+1}$, $i = 1,\dots,N-1$, and $H_N = e_{N,N} - e_{1,1}$. Define elements $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{Diag}_0^*$ for $i = 1,\dots,N$ by

$$\langle\alpha_i, H_i\rangle = 2, \qquad \langle\alpha_i, H_j\rangle = -1 \quad \text{if} \quad i-j = \pm 1 \bmod N,$$
$$\langle\alpha_i, H_j\rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j \text{ and } i-j \neq \pm 1 \bmod N.$$

Introduce the following elements of Mat: $E_i = e_{i,i+1}$, $F_i = e_{i+1,1}$ for $i = 1,\dots,N-1$ and $E_N = \lambda^{-1}e_{N,1}$, $F_N = \lambda e_{1,N}$. For $j = 1,\dots,N$, denote $\mathcal{N}_j^+$ the subalgebra of Mat generated by the elements E_i with $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ and $i \neq j$.

Throughout this paper, we consider all indices modulo N when appropriate. Thus we will write H_0, E_0 or H_N, E_N interchangeably.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,N\}$. Then*

$$e^{gE_j} = 1 + ge_{j,j}\Lambda^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *We have $\Lambda^{-1} = e_{1,2} + \dots + e_{N-1,N} + \lambda^{-1}e_{N,1}$, and*

$$e_{i+1,i+1}\Lambda = \Lambda e_{i,i}, \qquad e_{i,i}\Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda^{-1}e_{i+1,i+1} \tag{2.3}$$

for all i. □

2.2. **Generalized mKdV Hierarchy,** [DS]. Denote ∂ the differential operator $\frac{d}{dx}$. For $j \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, a *j-oper* is a differential operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V + W$$

with $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{Diag}_0)$ and $W \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_j^+)$. For $w \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_j^+)$ and a j-oper $\mathcal{L}$, the differential operator

$$e^{\mathrm{ad}\, w}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} + [w,\mathcal{L}] + \frac{1}{2}[w,[w,\mathcal{L}]] + \dots$$

is a j-oper. The j-opers $\mathcal{L}$ and $e^{\operatorname{ad} w}\mathcal{L}$ are called *j-gauge equivalent.* As $\mathcal{N}_j^+$ is nilpotent, e^w and e^{-w} are well defined and

$$e^{\operatorname{ad} w}\mathcal{L} = e^w\mathcal{L}e^{-w}.$$

A *Miura oper* is a differential operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V$$

with $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{Diag}_0)$. A Miura oper is a j-oper for any j. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the space of all Miura opers.

Lemma 2.4 ([DS]). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Miura oper.*

(i) *Then there exists $T = 1 + \sum_{i>0} T_i\Lambda^i$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(Diag)$ such that $T^{-1}\mathcal{L}T$ has the form $\partial + \Lambda + \sum_{i\leqslant 0} b_i\Lambda^i$ with $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$.*

(ii) *The matrix T is not unique. If both T and $\widetilde{T}$ have property (i), then $\widetilde{T} = (1 + \sum_{i<0} q_i\Lambda^i)T$ with $q_i \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the matrix $T\Lambda^rT^{-1}$ does not depend on the choice of T.*

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Miura oper and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_r} = [\mathcal{L}, \left(T\Lambda^rT^{-1}\right)^+] \tag{2.4}$$

is called *the r-th mKdV equation*, see [DS]. The classical mKdV equation corresponds to the case $N = 2$, $r = 3$.

Equation (2.5) defines vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}$ on the space $\mathcal{M}$ of Miura opers. For all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}$ commute, see [DS].

Lemma 2.5 ([DS]). *We have*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_r} = \frac{d}{dx}(T\Lambda^rT^{-1})^0, \tag{2.5}$$

where ^{0} is defined in (2.1).

2.3. **Generalized KdV Hierarchy,** [DS]. Let $\mathcal{B}((\partial^{-1}))$ be the algebra of formal pseudo-differential operators of the form $a = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i\partial^i$, with $a_i \in \mathcal{B}$ and finitely many terms with $i > 0$. The relations in this algebra are

$$\partial^k u - u\partial^k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k(k-1)\dots(k-i+1)\frac{d^iu}{dx^i}\partial^{k-i}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathcal{B}$. For $a = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i\partial^i \in \mathcal{B}((\partial^{-1}))$, define $a^+ = \sum_{i\geqslant 0} a_i\partial^i$.

Denote $\mathcal{B}[\partial] \subset \mathcal{B}((\partial^{-1}))$ the subalgebra of differential operators $a = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i\partial^i$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Denote $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}[\partial]$ the affine subspace of the differential operators of the form $L = \partial^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} u_i\partial^i$.

For $L \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a unique $L^{\frac{1}{N}} = \partial + \sum_{i\leqslant 0} a_i\partial^i \in \mathcal{B}((\partial^{-1}))$ such that $(L^{\frac{1}{N}})^N = L$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_r} = [(L^{\frac{r}{N}})^+, L] \tag{2.6}$$

is called *the r-th KdV equation* . The classical KdV equation corresponds to the case $N = 2$, $r = 3$.

Equation (2.6) defines flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}$ on the space $\mathcal{D}$. For all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ the flows $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}$ commute, see [DS].

2.4. **Miura maps.** Let $\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V$ be a Miura oper with $V = \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k e_{k,k}$. For $i = 1, \dots, N$, define a scalar differential operator $L_i \in \mathcal{D}$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} L_i \;&=\; (\partial - v_i)(\partial - v_{i-1}) \dots (\partial - v_1)(\partial - v_N) \dots (\partial - v_{i-2})(\partial - v_{i-1}) \\ &=\; \partial^N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} u_{k,i} \partial^k . \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Recall that we have $\sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k = 0$ by the definition of a Miura oper.

Theorem 2.6 ([DS])**.** *Let a Miura oper $\mathcal{L}$ satisfy the mKdV equation* (2.5) *for some r. Then for every $i = 1, \dots, N$ the differential operator L_i satisfies the KdV equation* (2.6)*.*

We define the *i-th Miura map* by the formula

$$\mathfrak{m}_i \;:\; \mathcal{M} \;\to\; \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{L} \;\mapsto\; L_i,$$

see (2.7).

Theorem 2.7 ([DS])**.** *If Miura opers $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are i-gauge equivalent, then $\mathfrak{m}_i(\mathcal{L}) = \mathfrak{m}_i(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$.*

3. Critical Points of Master Functions, [MV1]

3.1. **Master function.** Choose a collection of nonnegative integers $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$. Consider variables $u = (u_i^{(j)})$, where $j = 1, \dots, N$, and $i = 1, \dots, k_j$. We adopt the notations $k_{N+1} = k_1$ and $u_i^{(N+1)} = u_i^{(1)}$ for all i. The *master function* $\Phi(u; \boldsymbol{k})$ is defined by formula (1.1):

$$\Phi(u, \boldsymbol{k}) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i<i'} \log(u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j)}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i,i'} \log(u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j+1)}).$$

The product of symmetric groups $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \Sigma_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{k_N}$ acts on the set of variables by permuting the coordinates with the same upper index. The function Φ is symmetric with respect to the $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{k}}$-action.

A point u is a *critical point* if $d\Phi = 0$ at u. In other words, u is a critical point if and only if

$$\sum_{i' \neq i} \frac{2}{u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j)}} - \sum_{i=1}^{k_{j+1}} \frac{1}{u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j+1)}} - \sum_{i=1}^{k_{j-1}} \frac{1}{u_i^{(j)} - u_{i'}^{(j-1)}} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$ and $i = 1, \dots, k_j$. The critical set is $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{k}}$-invariant. All orbits have the same cardinality $k_1! \cdots k_N!$. We do not make distinction between critical points in the same orbit.

3.2. **Polynomials representing critical points.** Let $u = (u_i^{(j)})$ be a critical point of the master function Φ. Introduce an N-tuple of polynomials $y = (y_1(x),\, \dots,\, y_N(x))$,

$$y_j(x) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{k_j}(x-u_i^{(j)}). \tag{3.2}$$

We adopt the notations $y_{N+1} = y_1$ and $y_0 = y_N$. Each polynomial is considered up to multiplication by a nonzero number. The tuple defines a point in the direct product $(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$. We say that the tuple *represents the critical point.*

It is convenient to think that the tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^\emptyset = (1, \dots, 1)$ of constant polynomials represents in $(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ the critical point of the master function with no variables. This corresponds to the case $\boldsymbol{k} = (0, \dots, 0)$.

We say that a given tuple y is *generic* if each polynomial $y_j(x)$ has no multiple roots and the polynomials $y_j(x)$ and $y_{j+1}(x)$ have no common roots for $j = 1, \dots, N$. If a tuple represents a critical point, then it is generic, see (3.1). For example, the tuple $y^\emptyset$ is generic.

3.3. **Elementary generation.** A tuple y is called *fertile* if for every $j = 1, \dots, N$ there exists a polynomial $\tilde{y}_j$ such that

$$\mathrm{Wr}(y_j, \tilde{y}_j) \;=\; y_{j-1}y_{j+1}. \tag{3.3}$$

For example, the tuple $y^\emptyset$ is fertile.

Equation (3.3) is a first order inhomogeneous differential equation with respect to $\tilde{y}_j$. Its solutions are

$$\tilde{y}_j = y_j \int \frac{y_{j-1}y_{j+1}}{y_j^2}dx + cy_j, \tag{3.4}$$

where c is any number. The tuples

$$y^{(j)}(x,c) = (y_1(x), \dots, \tilde{y}_j(x,c), \dots, y_N(x)) \quad \in \quad (\mathbb{C}[x])^N \tag{3.5}$$

form a one-parameter family. This family is called the *generation of tuples from y in the j-th direction.* A tuple of this family is called an immediate descendant of y in the j-th direction.

Theorem 3.1 ([MV1])**.**

(i) *A generic tuple $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ represents a critical point of the master function if and only if y is fertile.*

(ii) *If y represents a critical point, $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and $y^{(j)}$ is an immediate descendant of y, then $y^{(j)}$ is fertile.*

(iii) *If y is generic and fertile, then for almost all values of the parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$ the corresponding n-tuple $y^{(j)}$ is generic. The exceptions form a finite set in $\mathbb{C}^1$.*

(iv) *Assume that a sequence $y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ of fertile N-tuples has a limit y_∞ in $(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ as i tends to infinity.*

  (a) *Then the limiting N-tuple y_∞ is fertile.*

  (b) *For $j = 1, \dots, N$, let $y_\infty^{(j)}$ be an immediate descendant of y_∞. Then for all j there exist immediate descendants $y_i^{(j)}$ of y_i such that $y_\infty^{(j)}$ is the limit of $y_i^{(j)}$ as i tends to infinity.*

3.4. **Degree increasing generation.** For $j = 1, \dots, N$, define $k_i = \deg y_j$. The polynomial $\tilde{y}_j$ in (3.4) is of degree k_j or $\tilde{k}_j = k_{j-1} + k_{j+1} + 1 - k_j$. We say that the *generation is degree increasing* if $\tilde{k}_j > k_j$. In that case $\deg \tilde{y}_j = \tilde{k}_j$ for all c.

If the generation is degree increasing we will normalize family (3.5) and construct a map $Y_{y,j} : \mathbb{C} \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ as follows. First we multiply the polynomials $y_1, \dots, y_N$ by numbers to make them monic. Then choose a monic polynomial $\tilde{y}_{j,0}$ satisfying the equation $\mathrm{Wr}(y_j, \tilde{y}_{j,0})$ $=$ const $y_{j-1}y_{j+1}$ and such that the coefficient of x^{k_j} in $\tilde{y}_{j,0}$ equals zero. Set

$$\tilde{y}_j(x,c) = y_{j,0}(x) + cy_j(x) \tag{3.6}$$

and define

$$Y_{y,j} \ : \ \mathbb{C} \ \to \ (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad c \mapsto \ y^{(j)}(x,c) = (y_1(x), \dots, \tilde{y}_j(x,c), \dots, y_N(x)). \tag{3.7}$$

All polynomials of the tuple $y^{(j)}(x,c)$ are monic.

Lemma 3.2. *If k_j is a minimal number among $k_1, \dots, k_N$, then the generation of tuples from y in the j-th direction is degree increasing.* □

3.5. **Degree-transformations and generation of vectors of integers.** For $j = 1, \dots, N$, the degree-transformation

$$\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \ \mapsto \boldsymbol{k}^{(j)} = (k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j-1} + k_{j+1} - k_j + 1, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_N) \tag{3.8}$$

corresponds to the shifted action of the affine reflection $w_j \in \widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$, where $\widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$ is the affine Weyl group of type A_{N-1} and $w_1, \dots, w_N$ are its standard generators, see Lemma 3.11 in [MV1] for more detail.

We take formula (3.8) as the definition of *degree-transformations*:

$$w_j \ : \ \boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \ \mapsto \boldsymbol{k}^{(j)} = (k_1, \dots, k_{j-1} + k_{j+1} - k_j + 1, \dots, k_N) \tag{3.9}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$, acting on arbitrary vectors $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$. Here we consider the indices of the coordinates modulo N, thus we have $k_0 = k_N, k_{N+1} = k_1$ and so on.

We start with the vector $\boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and a sequence $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$ of integers, $1 \leqslant j_i \leqslant N$. We apply the corresponding degree transformations to $\boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset}$ and obtain a sequence of vectors $\boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset}$, $\boldsymbol{k}^{(j_1)} = w_{j_1}\boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset}$, $\boldsymbol{k}^{(j_1,j_2)} = w_{j_2}w_{j_1}\boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset}$,...

$$\boldsymbol{k}^J = w_{j_m} \dots w_{j_2} w_{j_1} \boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset}. \tag{3.10}$$

We say that the *vector $\boldsymbol{k}^J$ is generated from* $(0, \dots, 0)$ *in the direction of* J.

For example, for $N = 3$ and $J = (1,2,3,1,2,3)$ we get the sequence $(0,0,0)$, $(1,0,0)$, $(1,2,0)$, $(1,2,4)$, $(6,2,4)$, $(6,9,4)$, $\boldsymbol{k}^J = (6,9,12)$.

We call the sequence J *degree increasing* if for every i the transformation w_{j_i} applied to $w_{j_{i-1}} \dots w_{j_1}\boldsymbol{k}^{\emptyset}$ increases the j_i-th coordinate.

The sequence $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ with $1 \leqslant j_i \leqslant N$ will be called *cyclic* if $j_1 = 1$ and $j_{i+1} = j_i + 1 \bmod N$ for all i.

Lemma 3.3. *A cyclic sequence $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ is degree increasing. If $m = p(N-1)+q$ for some integers p, q such that $0 \leqslant q \leqslant N-2$, then the last changed coordinate of $\boldsymbol{k}^J$ has index equal to m mod N and this coordinate equals*

$$(N-1)\frac{p(p+1)}{2} + (p+1)q.$$

□

For example, for $N = 3$, $J = (1,2,3,1,2,3)$, $\boldsymbol{k}^J = (6, 9, 12)$, we have $p = 3, q = 0$, and the last changed third coordinate equals 12.

3.6. **Multistep generation.** Let $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ be a degree increasing sequence of integers. Starting from $y^\emptyset = (1, \dots, 1)$ and J, we will construct by induction on m a map

$$Y^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N.$$

If $J = \emptyset$, the map $Y^\emptyset$ is the map $\mathbb{C}^0 = (pt) \mapsto y^\emptyset$. If $m = 1$ and $J = (j_1)$, the map $Y^{(j_1)} : \mathbb{C} \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ is given by formula (3.7) for $y = y^\emptyset$ and $j = j_1$. More precisely, equation (3.3) takes the form $\mathrm{Wr}(1, \tilde{y}_{j_1}) = 1$. Then $\tilde{y}_{j_1,0} = x$ and

$$Y^{(j_1)} \ : \ \mathbb{C} \mapsto (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad c \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, x+c, 1 \dots, 1),$$

where $x + c$ stands at the j_1-th position. By Theorem 3.1 all tuples in the image are fertile and almost all tuples are generic (in this example all tuples are generic).

Assume that for $\tilde{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_{m-1})$, the map $Y^{\tilde{J}}$ is constructed. To obtain Y^J we apply the generation procedure in the j_m-th direction to every tuple of the image of $Y^{\tilde{J}}$. More precisely, if

$$Y^{\tilde{J}} \ : \ \tilde{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \ \mapsto \ (y_1(x, \tilde{c}), \dots, y_N(x, \tilde{c})). \tag{3.11}$$

Then

$$Y^J : \mathbb{C}^m \mapsto (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \quad (\tilde{c}, c_m) \mapsto (y_1(x, \tilde{c}), \dots, y_{j_m,0}(x, \tilde{c}) + c_m y_{j_m}(x, \tilde{c}), \dots, y_N(x, \tilde{c})), \tag{3.12}$$

see formula (3.6). The map Y^J is called the *generation of N-tuples from $y^\emptyset$ in the J-th direction.*

Lemma 3.4. *All tuples in the image of Y^J are fertile and almost all tuples are generic. For any $c \in \mathbb{C}^m$ the N-tuple $Y^J(c)$ consists of monic polynomials. The degree vector of this tuple equals $\boldsymbol{k}^J$, see* (3.10). □

Example. Let $N = 2$, $J = (1,2)$. Then

$$Y^{(1)}(c_1) = (x + c_1, 1), \qquad Y^{(1,2)}(c_1, c_2) = (x + c_1, x^3 + 3c_1x^2 + 3c_1^2x + c_2),$$

c.f. with the first two Adler-Moser polynomials in [AM].

Example. Let $N = 3$, $J = (1,2,3)$. Then

$$Y^{(1)}(c_1) = (x + c_1, 1, 1), \qquad Y^{(1,2)}(c_1, c_2) = (x + c_1, x^2 + 2c_1x + c_2, 1),$$

$$Y^{(1,2,3)}(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (x + c_1, x^2 + 2c_1x + c_2, x^4 + 4c_1x^3 + (2c_2 + 4c_1^2)x^2 + 4c_1c_2x + c_3),$$

c.f. example in Section 1.4.

4. Miura opers and critical points, [MV2]

4.1. Deformations of Miura opers.

Lemma 4.1 ([MV2]). *Let $\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V$ be a Miura oper. Let $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then*

$$e^{ad(gE_j)}\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + (V + gH_j) - (g' + \langle \alpha_j, V \rangle g + g^2)E_j. \tag{4.1}$$

The opers $\mathcal{L}$ and $e^{\mathrm{ad}(gE_j)}\mathcal{L}$ are i-gauge equivalent for every $i \neq j$.

Corollary 4.2 ([MV2]). *Let $\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V$ be a Miura oper. Then $e^{ad(gE_j)}\mathcal{L}$ is a Miura oper if and only if the scalar function g satisfies the Ricatti equation*

$$g' + \langle \alpha_j, V \rangle g + g^2 = 0 \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V$ be a Miura oper with $V = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i e_{i,i}$. Assume that the functions v_i are rational functions of x. For $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is *deformable* in the j-th direction if equation (4.2) has a nonzero solution g, which is a rational function.

4.2. Miura oper associated with a tuple.

Define a map

$$\mu \ : \ (\mathbb{C}[x])^N \ \to \ \mathcal{M},$$

which sends a tuple $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ to the Miura oper $\mathcal{L} = \partial + \Lambda + V$ with

$$V \ = \ \sum_{k=1}^N \log'(y_k)\, H_k \ = \ \sum_{k=1}^N \log'(y_k/y_{k-1})\, e_{k,k}.$$

Here $\log'(f)$ denotes f'/f. The Miura oper $\mu(y)$ determines y uniquely if y is generic. The Miura oper $\mu(y)$ is called *associated* with y. For example,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\emptyset} = \partial + \Lambda$$

is associated with $y^{\emptyset} = (1, \dots, 1)$.

Theorem 4.3 ([MV2]). *Let a Miura oper $\mathcal{L}$ be associated with $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is deformable in the j-th direction if and only if there exists a polynomial $\tilde{y}_j$ satisfying equation* (3.3)*. Moreover, in that case any nozero rational solution g of equation* (4.2) *has the form $g = \log'(\tilde{y}_j/y_j)$, where $\tilde{y}_j$ is a solution of equation* (3.3)*. If $g = \log'(\tilde{y}_j/y_j)$, then the Miura oper*

$$e^{ad(gE_j)}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} + gH_j$$

is associated with the tuple $y^{(j)} = (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_j, \dots, y_N)$, see Section 3.3.

In particular, if $\mathcal{L}$ is associated with a fertile tuple, then $\mathcal{L}$ is deformable in all directions.

Lemma 4.4. *Let an oper $\partial + \Lambda + \sum_{k=1}^N v_k e_{k,k}$ be associated with an N-tuple of polynomials y, that is assume that $v_k = \log'(y_k/y_{k-1})$. If $v_k' = 0$ for some k, then $v_k = 0$ and $y_k = a y_{k-1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. If $v_k' = 0$, then $v_k = b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $y_k = a e^{bx} y_{k-1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $b = 0$ since y_k, y_{k-1} are polynomials. $\square$

4.3. **Miura opers associated with the generation procedure.** Let $J=(j_1,\dots,j_m)$ be a degree increasing sequence of integers. Let $Y^J:\mathbb{C}^m\to(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ be the generation of tuples from $y^\emptyset$ in the J-th direction. We define the associated family of Miura opers by the formula:

$$\mu^J\ :\ \mathbb{C}^m\ \to\ \mathcal{M},\qquad c\ \mapsto\ \mu(Y^J(c)).$$

The map μ^J is called the *generation of Miura opers from $\mathcal{L}^\emptyset$ in the J-th direction.*

For $\ell=1,\dots,m$, denote $J_\ell=(j_1,...,j_\ell)$ the beginning ℓ-interval of the sequence J. Consider the associated map $Y^{J_\ell}:\mathbb{C}^\ell\to(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$. Denote

$$Y^{J_\ell}(c_1,\dots,c_\ell)=(y_1(x,c_1,\dots,c_\ell,\ell),\dots,y_N(x,c_1,\dots,c_\ell,\ell)).$$

Introduce

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x,c_1,\dots,c_m)\ &=\ \log'(y_{j_1}(x,c_1,1)),\\ g_\ell(x,c_1,\dots,c_m)\ &=\ \log'(y_{j_\ell}(x,c_1\dots,c_\ell,\ell))-\log'(y_{j_\ell}(x,c_1,\dots,c_{\ell-1},\ell-1)),\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

for $\ell=2,\dots,m$. For $c\in\mathbb{C}^m$, denote

$$T^J(x,c)=e^{g_m(x,c)E_{j_m}}\cdots e^{g_1(x,c)E_{j_1}}.\tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.5. *For $c\in\mathbb{C}^m$, we have*

$$\mu^J(c)\ =\ T^J(x,c)\,\mathcal{L}^\emptyset\,(T^J(x,c))^{-1}\tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\mu^J(c)\ =\ \partial+\Lambda+\sum_{\ell=1}^m g_\ell(x,c)H_{j_\ell}.\tag{4.6}$$

Proof. The lemma follows from Theorem 4.3. ☐

Example. Let $N=2$, $J=(1,2)$. Then $\mu^J(c_1,c_2)=\partial+\Lambda+\mathrm{diag}(v_1,v_2)$, where

$$v_1=-v_2=\log'(x+c_1)-\log'(x^3+3c_1x^2+3c_1^2x+c_2).$$

We have $g_1=\log'(x+c_1)$, $g_2=\log'(x^3+3c_1x^2+3c_1^2x+c_2)$ and

$$\mu^J(c)=e^{g_2(x,c)E_2}e^{g_1(x,c)E_1}\,\mathcal{L}^\emptyset\,e^{-g_1(x,c)E_1}e^{-g_2(x,c)E_2}.$$

Corollary 4.6. *For any $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $c\in\mathbb{C}^m$, let $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(c)}$ be the value at $\mu^J(c)$ of the vector field of the r-th mKdV flow on the space $\mathcal{M}$, see* (2.5)*. Then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(c)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(T^J(x,c)\Lambda^r(T^J(x,c))^{-1})^0,\tag{4.7}$$

where ^{0} is defined in (2.1)*.*

Proof. The corollary follows from (2.5) and (4.5). ☐

Denote $\tilde J=(j_1,\dots,j_{m-1})$. Consider the associated family $\mu^{\tilde J}:\mathbb{C}^{m-1}\to\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_i:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{L}\mapsto L_i$, be Miura maps defined in Section 2.4 for $i=1,\dots,N$. Denote $\tilde c=(c_1,\dots,c_{m-1})$.

Lemma 4.7. *We have $\mathfrak{m}_i\circ\mu^J(\tilde c,c_m)=\mathfrak{m}_i\circ\mu^{\tilde J}(\tilde c)$ for all $i\neq j_m$ and $(\tilde c,c_m)\in\mathbb{C}^m$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from formula (4.5) and Theorem 2.7. ☐

Lemma 4.8.

$$\frac{\partial \mu^J}{\partial c_m}(\tilde c, c_m) \;=\; a\;\frac{y_{j_m-1}(x,\tilde c, m-1)\,y_{j_m+1}(x,\tilde c,m-1)}{y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,c_m,m)^2}H_{j_m} \tag{4.8}$$

for some $a\in\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We have $y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,c_m,m) = y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c) + c_m y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c, m-1)$, where $y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c)$ is such that

$$\mathrm{Wr}(y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,m-1), y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c)) \;=\; a\; y_{j_m-1}(x,\tilde c,m-1)y_{j_m+1}(x,\tilde c,m-1),$$

for some $a\in\mathbb{C}$, see (3.6). We have $g_m = \log'(y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,c_m,m)) - \log'(y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,m-1))$.

By formula (4.6), we have

$$\frac{\partial \mu^J}{\partial c_m}(\tilde c,c_m) = \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial c_m}(\tilde c,c_m)H_{j_m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial g_m}{\partial c_m}(\tilde c,c_m) \;&=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial c_m}\left(\frac{y'_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c)+c_m y'_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,m-1)}{y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c)+c_m y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,m-1)}\right)\\ &=\; \frac{\mathrm{Wr}(y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c), y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,m-1))}{(y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde c)+c_m y_{j_m}(x,\tilde c,m-1))^2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Vector fields

5.1. **Statement.** Recall that we denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}$ the r-th mKdV vector field on $\mathcal{M}$ and also the r-th KdV vector field on $\mathcal{D}$. For a Miura map $\mathfrak{m}_i : \mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{D},\ \mathcal{L}\mapsto L_i$, denote by $d\mathfrak{m}_i$ the associated derivative map $T\mathcal{M}\to T\mathcal{D}$. By Theorem 2.6 we have $d\mathfrak{m}_i : \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mathcal{L}} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{L_i}$.

Fix a degree increasing sequence $J=(j_1,\dots,j_m)$. Consider the associated family $\mu^J:\mathbb{C}^m\to\mathcal{M}$ of Miura opers. For a vector field Γ on $\mathbb{C}^m$, denote by $\frac{\partial\mu^J}{\partial\Gamma}$ the derivative of μ^J along the vector field. The derivative is well-defined since $\mathcal{M}$ is an affine space.

Theorem 5.1. *For every $r\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists a polynomial vector field Γ_r on $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(c)} = \frac{\partial\mu^J}{\partial\Gamma_r}(c) \tag{5.1}$$

for all $c\in\mathbb{C}^m$. If $r>2m$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(c)} = 0$ for all $c\in\mathbb{C}^m$ and $\Gamma_r=0$.

Corollary 5.2. *The family μ^J of Miura opers is invariant with respect to all mKdV flows and is point-wise fixed by flows with $r>2m$.*

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.1 for $m=1$.** Let $J=(j_1)$. Then

$$\mu^J(c_1) = e^{g_1E_{j_1}}\mathcal{L}^{\emptyset}e^{-g_1E_{j_1}} = (1+g_1e_{j_1,j_1}\Lambda^{-1})\mathcal{L}^{\emptyset}(1-g_1e_{j_1,j_1}\Lambda^{-1}) = \partial+\Lambda+g_1H_{j_1},$$

where $g_1=\frac{1}{x+c_1}$. By formula (4.7),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(c_1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}((1+g_1e_{j_1,j_1}\Lambda^{-1})\Lambda^r(1-g_1e_{j_1,j_1}\Lambda^{-1}))^0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(c_1)} = 0$ for $r > 1$ and hence $\Gamma_r = 0$. For $r = 1$ we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\big|_{\mu^J(c_1)} = -\frac{1}{(x+c_1)^2} H_{j_1}$ and this equals $\frac{\partial}{\partial c_1}\mu^J(c_1)$. Hence $\Gamma_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial c_1}$. Theorem 5.1 for $m = 1$ is proved.

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 5.1 for** $m > 1$.

Lemma 5.3. *If* $r > 2m$, *then* $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(c)} = 0$ *for all* $c \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

Proof. The vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(c)}$ equals the right hand side of formula (4.7). By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 the right hand side of (4.7) is zero if $r > 2m$. □

We prove the first statement of Theorem 5.1 by induction on m. Assume that the statement is proved for $\tilde J = (j_1, \dots, j_{m-1})$. Let

$$Y^{\tilde J} \ : \ \tilde c = (c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \ \mapsto \ (y_1(x, \tilde c), \dots, y_N(x, \tilde c))$$

be the generation of tuples in the $\tilde J$-th direction. Then the generation of tuples in the J-th direction is

$$Y^J \ : \ \mathbb{C}^m \mapsto (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \quad (\tilde c, c_m) \mapsto \ (y_1(x, \tilde c), \dots, y_{j_m,0}(x, \tilde c) + c_m y_{j_m}(x, \tilde c), \dots, y_N(x, \tilde c)),$$

see (7.22) and (7.23). We have $g_m = \log'(y_{j_m,0}(x, \tilde c) + c_m y_{j_m}(x, \tilde c)) - \log'(y_{j_m}(x, \tilde c))$, see (4.3).

By the induction assumption, there exists a polynomial vector field $\Gamma_{r,\tilde J}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^{\tilde J}(\tilde c)} = \frac{\partial \mu^{\tilde J}}{\partial \Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $\tilde c \in \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$.

Theorem 5.4. *There exists a scalar polynomial* $\gamma_m(\tilde c, c_m)$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^m$ *such that the vector field* $\Gamma_r = \Gamma_{r,\tilde J} + \gamma_m(\tilde c, c_m)\frac{\partial}{\partial c_m}$ *satisfies* (5.1) *for all* $(\tilde c, c_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

The first statement of Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 5.4.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 5.4.**

Lemma 5.5. *We have*

$$d\mathfrak{m}_i\big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c, c_m)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c, c_m)} - \frac{\partial \mu^J}{\partial \Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c, c_m) \right) = 0 \tag{5.3}$$

for all $i \neq j_m$ *and* $(\tilde c, c_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, we have $\mathfrak{m}_i \circ \mu^J(\tilde c, c_m) = \mathfrak{m}_i \circ \mu^{\tilde J}(\tilde c)$ for all $i \neq j_m$. Hence,

$$d\mathfrak{m}_i\big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c, c_m)} \left(\frac{\partial \mu^J}{\partial \Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c, c_m) \right) = \frac{\partial (\mathfrak{m}_i \circ \mu^J)}{\partial \Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c, c_m) = \frac{\partial (\mathfrak{m}_i \circ \mu^{\tilde J})}{\partial \Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c). \tag{5.4}$$

By Theorems 2.6 and 2.7, we have

$$d\mathfrak{m}_i\big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c, c_m)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c, c_m)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mathfrak{m}_i \circ \mu^J(\tilde c, c_m)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mathfrak{m}_i \circ \mu^{\tilde J}(\tilde c)}. \tag{5.5}$$

By the induction assumption, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mathfrak{m}_i\circ\mu^{\tilde J}(\tilde c)} = \frac{\partial(\mathfrak{m}_i\circ\mu^{\tilde J})}{\partial\Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c). \tag{5.6}$$

These three formulas prove the lemma. ☐

The space $\mathcal{M}$ of Miura opers is an affine space. The tangent space to $\mathcal{M}$ at any point is canonically identified with the vector space $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{Diag}_0)$, whose elements have the form $X=\sum_{k=1}^N X_k e_{k,k}$ with $X_k\in\mathcal{B}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^N X_k=0$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $X(c)=\sum_{k=1}^N X_k(x,c)e_{k,k}$ *be a* $\mathcal{B}(Diag_0)$*-valued function on* $\mathbb{C}^m$ *such that*

$$d\mathfrak{m}_i\big|_{\mu^J(c)}(X(c))=0 \tag{5.7}$$

for all $i\neq j_m$ *and all* $c\in\mathbb{C}^m$*. Assume that* $X_k=0$ *unless* $k=j_\ell$ *of* $k=j_\ell+1$ *for some* $\ell\leqslant m$*. Then*

$$X \;=\; a\,\frac{\partial\mu^J}{\partial c_m} \tag{5.8}$$

for some scalar function a *on* $\mathbb{C}^m$*.*

Proof. Suppose $j_m=1$. For other values of j_m, the following argument holds after a cyclic permutation of indices.

Let $\mu^J(c)=\partial+\Lambda+\sum_{k=1}^N v_k(x,c)e_{k,k}$. For $i=1,\dots,N$, the Miura map is

$$\mathfrak{m}_i\ :\ \partial+\Lambda+\sum_{k=1}^N v_k e_{k,k}\ \mapsto\ (\partial-v_i)(\partial-v_{i-1})\dots(\partial-v_1)(\partial-v_N)\dots(\partial-v_{i-1}).$$

For $i=2,\dots,N$, we have $d\mathfrak{m}_i\big|_{\mu^J(c)}(\sum_{k=1}^N X_k(x,c))=0$. This means

$$\begin{aligned}(-X_i)(\partial-v_{i-1})\dots(\partial-v_1)(\partial-v_N)\dots(\partial-v_{i-1})\,+\\ +\,(\partial-v_i)(-X_{i-1})\dots(\partial-v_1)(\partial-v_N)\dots(\partial-v_{i-1})+\dots+\\ +\,(\partial-v_i)(\partial-v_{i-1})\dots(-X_1)(\partial-v_N)\dots(\partial-v_{i-1})\,+\\ (\partial-v_i)(\partial-v_{i-1})\dots(\partial-v_1)(-X_N)\dots(\partial-v_{i-1})+\dots+\\ +\,(\partial-v_i)(\partial-v_{i-1})\dots(\partial-v_1)(\partial-v_N)\dots(-X_{i-1})=0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

For a given i, the left hand side is a differential operator of order $N-2$ and the right hand side is zero. Hence, for a given i, we obtain $N-1$ linear differential equations on coordinates $X_1,\dots,X_N$. The index i may take $N-1$ values $2,\dots,N$. All together we get $(N-1)^2$ linear differential equations on $X_1,\dots,X_N$. Our goal is to show that under conditions of Proposition 5.6 the space of solutions of this system of equations is at most one-dimensional and if the space is one-dimensional then it is generated by

$$\frac{y_N(x,\tilde c,m-1)y_2(x,\tilde c,m-1)}{y_1(x,\tilde c,c_m,m)^2}\,H_1, \tag{5.10}$$

see formula (4.8).

The coefficient of ∂^{N-2} in equation (5.9) for $i = N, N-1, \dots, 2$, gives the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
X'_{N-1} + 2X'_{N-2} + \dots + (N-1)X'_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k X_k &= 0, \qquad (5.11)\\
X'_{N-2} + 2X'_{N-3} + \dots + (N-2)X'_1 + (N-1)X'_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k X_k &= 0,\\
X'_{N-3} + 2X'_{N-4} + \dots + (N-3)X'_1 + (N-2)X'_N + (N-1)X_{N-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k X_k &= 0,\\
\dots \quad \dots\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots &\\
X'_1 + 2X'_N + \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad + (N-2)X'_4 + (N-1)X'_3 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k X_k &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By subtracting the second equation from the first we get $(N-1)X'_N - X'_1 - \dots - X'_{N-1} = 0$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^N X_k = 0$ we get $X'_N = 0$. Similarly we obtain

$$X'_k = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad k = 3, \dots, N \qquad \text{and} \quad X'_2 = -X'_1. \tag{5.12}$$

By using (5.13) and equation $X_2 = \sum_{k\neq 2} X_k$ we obtain from (5.11) the equation

$$(\partial + v_1 - v_2)X_1 = \sum_{k=3}^{N} (v_2 - v_k)X_k. \tag{5.13}$$

If

$$\sum_{k=3}^{N} (v_2 - v_k)X_k = 0, \tag{5.14}$$

then $(\partial + v_1 - v_2)X_1 = 0$ or

$$X_1 \;=\; a\,\frac{y_N(x,\tilde c, m-1)y_2(x,\tilde c, m-1)}{y_1(x,\tilde c, c_m, m)^2}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. This equation proves Proposition 5.6.

Now our goal is to show that under assumptions of Proposition 5.6 equation (5.14) holds.

Lemma 5.7. *The coefficient of* ∂^{N-3} *in equation* (5.9) *for* $i = 2, \dots, N$ *gives the following system of equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=3}^{i} [(v_k - v_2)(v_1 - v_k) + (k-2)v'_k - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} v'_j]\, X_k + \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=i+1}^{N} [(v_k - v_2)(v_1 - v_k) + (k-N-1)v'_k + v'_1 + \sum_{j=k+1}^{N} v'_j]\, X_k \;=\; 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

In particular, by subtracting the consecutive equations we get equations

$$v'_k\, X_k \;=\; 0, \qquad k = 3, \dots, N. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. Equate to zero the coefficient of D^{N-3} in (5.9). We get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[a_{ik}\partial^2+b_{ik}\partial+c_{ik}\right]X_k=\left[a_{i1}\partial^2+b_{i1}\partial+c_{i1}\right]X_1+\left[a_{i2}\partial^2+b_{i2}\partial+c_{i2}\right]X_2+$$
$$+\sum_{k=3}^{N}c_{ik}\,X_k=\ \left[(a_{i1}-a_{i2})\partial^2+(b_{i1}-b_{i2})\partial+(c_{i1}-c_{i2})\right]X_1+\sum_{k=3}^{N}(c_{ik}-c_{i2})\,X_k=\ 0.$$

The coefficient c_{ik} has the form $c_{ik}=-q(k)+d_{ik}$, where

$$q(k)=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant N\\(s-k)(t-k)\neq 0}}v_sv_t \tag{5.17}$$

and d_{ik} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i\geqslant k}&=v'_{i-1}+2v'_{i-2}+\dots+(i-k-1)v'_{k+1}+(i-k)v'_{k-1}+\dots\\ &\quad+(i-3)v'_2+(i-2)v'_1+(i-1)v'_N+\dots+(N-2)v'_{i+1},\\ d_{i<k}&=v'_{i-1}+2v'_{i-2}+\dots+(i-2)v'_2+(i-1)v'_1+\\ &\quad+iv'_N+\dots+(N+i-k-2)v'_{k+1}+(N+i-k)v'_{k-1}+\dots+(N-2)v'_{i+1}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left[(a_{i1}-a_{i2})\partial^2+(b_{i1}-b_{i2})\partial+(c_{i1}-c_{i2})\right]=(-(i-2)\partial+\sum_{j=3}^{N}v_j)(\partial+v_1-v_2). \tag{5.19}$$

The formulas (5.13), (5.17), (5.18) , (5.19) imply Lemma 5.7. □

Let us finish the proof of Proposition 5.6. Recall that we assumed that $j_m=1$ and it remains to be proved that $\sum_{k=3}^{N}(v_2-v_k)X_k=0$, see (5.14). If k is not equal to j_ℓ or $j_\ell+1$ for some $\ell\leqslant m$, then $X_k=0$ by the assumption. Otherwise, we have $v'_kX_k=0$, see (5.16). For such k the function v_k is not identically zero as a function on $\mathbb{C}^m$. By Lemma 4.4 the function v'_k is not identically zero on $\mathbb{C}^m$. Hence $X_k=0$ and equation (5.14) holds. Proposition 5.6 is proved. □

Denote

$$Y=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c,c_m)}-\frac{\partial\mu^J}{\partial\Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c,c_m). \tag{5.20}$$

Let $Y_1,\dots,Y_N$ be coordinates of Y, $\ Y=\sum_{k=1}^{N}Y_ke_{k,k}$.

Lemma 5.8. *We have $Y_k=0$ unless $k=j_\ell$ or $j_\ell+1$ for some $\ell\leqslant m$.*

Proof. The fact that the k-th coordinate of $\frac{\partial\mu^J}{\partial\Gamma_{r,\tilde J}}(\tilde c,c_m)$ equals zero unless $k=j_\ell$ or $j_\ell+1$ for some $\ell\leqslant m$ follows from (4.6). The fact that the k-th coordinate of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(\tilde c,c_m)}$ equals zero unless $k=j_\ell$ or $j_\ell+1$ for some $\ell\leqslant m$ follows from formulas (4.7), (2.2) and (2.3). □

By Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 5.6 there exists a scalar function $\gamma_m(\tilde c,c_m)$ on $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that the vector field $\Gamma_r=\Gamma_{r,\tilde J}+\gamma_m(\tilde c,c_m)\frac{\partial}{\partial c_m}$ satisfies (5.1) for all $(\tilde c,c_m)\in\mathbb{C}^m$. It remains to prove that the scalar function is a polynomial.

Proposition 5.9. *The function $\gamma_m(\tilde{c}, c_m)$ is a polynomial on $\mathbb{C}^m$.*

Proof. Let $g = x^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} A_i(c_1, \dots, c_m)x^i$ be a polynomial in $x, c_1, \dots, c_m$. Denote $h = \log' g$ the logarithmic derivative of g with respect to x. Consider the Laurent expansion of h at $x = \infty$, $h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(c_1, \dots, c_k)x^{-i}$.

Lemma 5.10. *All coefficients B_i are polynomials in $c_1, \dots, c_m$.* ☐

The vector $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\big|_{\mu^J(\tilde{c}, c_m)}$ is a diagonal matrix depending on $x, c_1, \dots, c_m$. Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_N$ be coordinates of Y, $Y = \sum_{k=1}^{N} Y_k e_{k,k}$.

Lemma 5.11. *Each coordinate Y_k is a rational function of $x, c_1, \dots, c_m$ which has a Laurent expansion of the form $Y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(c_1, \dots, c_k)x^{-i}$ where all coefficients B_i are polynomials in $c_1, \dots, c_m$.* ☐

The vector $Y = \frac{\partial \mu^J}{\Gamma_{r,\tilde{J}}}(\tilde{c}, c_m)$ is a diagonal matrix depending on $x, c_1, \dots, c_m$. Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_N$ be coordinates of Y, $Y = \sum_{k=1}^{N} Y_k e_{k,k}$.

Lemma 5.12. *Each coordinate Y_k is a rational function of $x, c_1, \dots, c_m$ which has a Laurent expansion of the form $Y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(c_1, \dots, c_k)x^{-i}$ where all coefficients B_i are polynomials in $c_1, \dots, c_m$.* ☐

Let us finish the proof of Proposition 5.9. The function $\gamma_m(\tilde{c}, c_m)$ is determined from the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\Big|_{\mu^J(\tilde{c}, c_m)} - \frac{\partial \mu^J}{\partial \Gamma_{r,\tilde{J}}}(\tilde{c}, c_m) = \gamma_m(\tilde{c}, c_m)\frac{y_N(x, \tilde{c}, m-1)y_2(x, \tilde{c}, m-1)}{y_1(x, \tilde{c}, c_m, m)^2}\, H_1.$$

The function $\frac{y_N(x,\tilde{c},m-1)y_2(x,\tilde{c},m-1)}{y_1(x,\tilde{c},c_m,m)^2}$ has a Laurent expansion of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(c_1, \dots, c_k)x^{-i}$ and the first nonzero coefficient B_i of this expansion is 1 since the polynomials y_N, y_1, y_2 are all monic. Hence g_m is a polynomial. ☐

Theorem 5.1 is proved.

5.5. **Cyclic generation.** Recall that a sequence $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ with $1 \leqslant j_i \leqslant N$ is called cyclic if $j_1 = 1$ and $j_{i+1} = j_i + 1 \bmod N$ for all i. If the generation is cyclic, then it is degree increasing, see Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 5.13. *If J is cyclic, then the image of the generation map $\mu^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{M}$ does not have a proper subset invariant under the the flows exp $\left(\sum_{r\in\mathbb{N}} t_r \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\right)$.*

Proof. Let ℓ be a nonnegative number. Write $\ell = (N-1)p + q$ where $1 \leqslant q \leqslant N$. Define $r_\ell = \ell + p$.

Lemma 5.14. *Let $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ be a cyclic sequence.*

- (i) *Then the image of the map $\mu^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{M}$ is point-wise fixed by the mKdV flow ∂_{t_r} for every $r > r_m$.*
- (ii) *Let Γ_r be the vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^m$ described in Theorem 5.1. Then $\Gamma_{r_m} = a\frac{\partial}{\partial c_m}$ where a is a nonzero number.*

Proof. The lemma is a corollary of formula (4.7) and Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 4.5. ☐

Theorem 5.13 follows from Lemma 5.14. ☐

6. Schur polynomials

6.1. **Definition.** By a *partition* we will mean an infinite sequence of nonnegative integers $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \dots)$ such that all except a finite number of the λ_i are zero. The number $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$ is called the *weight of* λ.

Denote $t = (t_2, t_3, \dots)$. Define polynomials $h_i(t_1, t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, by the relation

$$\exp\,(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j z^j) \;=\; \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i z^i .$$

Set $h_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. We have

$$\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t_1} = -h_{i-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_i(t_1, t=0) = \frac{(-t_1)^i}{i!}. \tag{6.1}$$

Define the Schur polynomial associated to a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant \lambda_{n+1} = 0)$ by the formula

$$F_\lambda(t_1, t) \;=\; \det\nolimits_{i,j=0}^{n} (h_{\lambda_i - i + j}). \tag{6.2}$$

Theorem 6.1 ([Fa])**.** *For any λ, the Schur polynomial F_λ is irreducible in variables t_1, t.*

Corollary 6.2. *For a generic fixed t, the roots of $F_\lambda(t_1, t)$ with respect to t_1 are all simple.*

Corollary 6.3. *Let λ, μ be partitions, $\lambda \neq \mu$. Then for a generic fixed t, the polynomials $F_\lambda(t_1, t)$ and $F_\mu(t_1, t)$ with respect to t_1 have no common roots.*

6.2. **Wronskian determinant.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any functions $f_1, \dots, f_n$ of t_1 define the Wronskian determinant

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t_1) & f_1'(t_1) & \dots & f_1^{(n-1)}(t_1) \\ f_2(t_1) & f_2'(t_1) & \dots & f_2^{(n-1)}(t_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_n(t_1) & f_n'(t_1) & \dots & f_n^{(n-1)}(t_1) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.3}$$

where derivatives are taken with respect to t_1.

Lemma 6.4 ([MV1])**.** *For functions $f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, g_2$ of t_1 we have*

(6.4)

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1), \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_2)) = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n)\, \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, g_2).$$

Proof. If $f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1$ are linearly dependent, then both sides of (6.4) are equal to zero. Assume that $f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1$ are linearly independent.

Both sides of (6.4) depend on g_2 linearly and are linear combinations of $g_2, g_2', \dots, g_2^{(n+1)}$. Both sides are equal to zero if $g_2 = f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1$. In both sides, the coefficient of $g_2^{(n+1)}$ equals $\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n)\, \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1)$. This implies the lemma. □

Lemma 6.5. *For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant \lambda_{n+1} = 0)$, we have*

$$F_\lambda(t_1, t) = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(h_{\lambda_0 + n}, h_{\lambda_1 + n - 1}, \dots, h_{\lambda_n}). \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. The lemma follows from (6.1). □

Lemma 6.6. *For a partition λ, the Schur polynomial $F_\lambda(t_1, t)$ has degree $|\lambda|$ with respect to t_1. The coefficient of $t_1^{|\lambda|}$ in F_λ is a nonzero rational number.* ☐

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant \lambda_{n+1} = 0)$ if $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ is such that $\lambda_i - 1 \geqslant \lambda_{i+1}$, then $\lambda^i := (\lambda_1 \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_{i-1} \geqslant \lambda_i - 1 \geqslant \lambda_{i+1} \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_{n+1} = 0)$ is a partition. This partition will be called the *derivative partition of λ at the i-th position.*

Lemma 6.7. *For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_n \geqslant \lambda_{n+1} = 0)$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial t_1} + \sum F_{\lambda^i} = 0 \tag{6.6}$$

where the sum is over all derivative partitions λ^i of the partition λ.

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 6.5 and formula (6.1). ☐

6.3. **Subsets of virtual cardinal zero.** Following [SW], we say that a subset $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is of *virtual cardinal zero*, if $s_i = i$ for all sufficiently large i. Denote $\mathcal{S}$ the set of all subsets of virtual cardinal zero.

Lemma 6.8 ([SW])**.** *There is a one to one correspondence between elements of $\mathcal{S}$ and partitions, given by $S \leftrightarrow \lambda$ where $\lambda_i = i - s_i$.*

For a subset $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote $S + k$ the subset $S = \{s_0 + k < s_1 + k < s_2 + k < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Let S be a subset of virtual cardinal zero. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be a finite subset of distinct integers.

Lemma 6.9. *If $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cap (S + k) = \emptyset$. Then $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cup (S + k)$ is a subset of virtual cardinal zero.* ☐

To a subset $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{n+1} = n + 1 < \dots\}$ of virtual cardinal zero we assign the Schur polynomial of the partition λ given by Lemma 6.8,

$$F_S(t_1, t) := F_\lambda(t_1, t) = \det_{i,j=0}^{n}(h_{j-s_i}) = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(h_{n-s_0}, \dots, h_{n-s_n}). \tag{6.7}$$

Let S be a subset of virtual cardinal zero. Assume that the two-element subset $A = \{a_1 < a_2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $A \cap (S + 1) = \emptyset$. Then the subsets $S_1 := \{a_1\} \cup (S + 1), S_2 := \{a_2\} \cup (S + 1), S_3 := S, S_4 = \{a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1\} \cup (S + 2)$ are of virtual cardinal zero, by Lemma 6.9.

Theorem 6.10. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{S_1}, F_{S_2}) = F_{S_3} F_{S_4}. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. Let $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{n+1} = n + 1 < \dots\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{S_1} &= \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(h_{n-s_0}, \dots, h_{n+1-a_1}, \dots, h_{n-s_n}), \\ F_{S_2} &= \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(h_{n-s_0}, \dots, h_{n+1-a_2}, \dots, h_{n-s_n}), \\ F_{S_4} &= \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(h_{n-s_0}, \dots, h_{n+1-a_1}, \dots, h_{n+1-a_2}, \dots, h_{n-s_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the theorem follows from Lemma 6.4. ☐

As was explained to us by A. Lascoux, this simple Theorem 6.10 can be interpreted as an instance of the Plucker relation [1; 2][3; 4] - [1; 3][2; 4] + [1; 4][2; 3] = 0, see Section 1.4 and Complement A1 in [L1], c.f. [L2].

6.4. **More general Wronskian identities for Schur polynomials.** Lemma 6.4 is a particular case of more general Wronskian identities in [MV1]. Namely, fix integers $0 \leqslant k \leqslant s$ and functions $g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}$ of t_1. Let

$$V_{s-k+1}(i) = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s-k}, g_i).$$

Lemma 6.11 ([MV1])**.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(V_{s-k+1}(s-k+1), V_{s-k+1}(s-k+2), \dots, V_{s-k+1}(s+1)) &= \\ = (\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s-k}))^k \, \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}).&\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Let $W_s(i) = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(g_1, \dots, \widehat{g_i}, \dots, g_{s+1})$ be the Wronskian of all functions except g_i.

Lemma 6.12 ([MV1])**.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(W_s(s-k+1), W_s(s-k+2), \dots, W_s(s+1)) &= \\ = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s-k})(\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}))^k.&\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

If $\{g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}\}$ is an arbitrary subset of the set $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, \}$, then Lemma 6.5 and Lemmas 6.11, 6.12 give identities for Schur polynomials. For example if $k = 2, s = 3$ and $\{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\} = \{h_4, h_3, h_2, h_1\}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{(3,3)}, F_{(3,2)}, F_{(3,1)}) &= F_{(4)}^2 F_{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{(2,1,1)}, F_{(2,2,1)}, F_{(2,2,2)}) &= F_{(4)} F_{(1,1,1,1)}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

6.5. **KdV subsets.** Fix an integer $N > 1$. We say that a subset S of virtual cardinal zero is a *KdV subset* if $S + N \subset S$. For example, for any $N > 1$

$$S^{\emptyset} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a KdV subset.

Lemma 6.13. *Let S be a KdV subset. Then there exists a unique N-element subset $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $S = A \cup (S + N)$.* ☐

The subset A of the Lemma 6.13 will be called the *leading term of S*.

Lemma 6.14. *The leading term A uniquely determines the KdV subset S, since S is the union of N nonintersecting arithmetic progressions $\{a_i, a_i + N, a_i + 2N, \dots\}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. If $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is the leading term of a KdV subset S, then $a_i - a_j$ are not divisible by N for all $i \neq j$.* ☐

For example, for $N = 3$ and $S = \{-3 < 0 < 1 < 3 < 4 < \dots\}$, the leading term is $A = \{-3, 1, 5\}$ and S is the union of three arithmetic progressions with step 3: $\{-3, , 0, 3, 6, \dots\}$, $\{1, 4, 7, \dots\}$, and $\{5, 8, 11, \dots\}$.

Lemma 6.15. *Let a KdV subset S has the leading term $A = \{a, a + 1, \dots, a + N - 1\}$ for some integer a. Then $a = 0$ and $S = S^{\emptyset}$.* ☐

Lemma 6.16. *Let S be a KdV subset with leading term A. For any $a \in A$ the subset*

$$S[a] = \{a + 1 - N\} \cup (S + 1) \tag{6.12}$$

is a KdV subset with leading term $A[a] = (A + 1) \cup \{a + 1 - N\} - \{a + 1\}$ ☐

The subset $S[a]$ will be called the *mutation of the KdV subset* S at $a \in A$.

For example, let $N = 3$. For a KdV subset $S = \{-3 < 0 < 1 < 3 < 4 < \dots\}$ with leading term $A = \{-3 < 1 < 5\}$, we have $A[1] = \{-2 < -1 < 6\}$ and $S[1] = \{-2 < -1 < 1 < 2 < 4 < 5 < 6 < \dots\}$.

Lemma 6.17. *Let S_1 be a KdV subset with leading term A. Let S_2 be a KdV subset such that $S_1 + 1 \subset S_2$. Then S_2 is the mutation of S_1 at some element $a \in A$.* ☐

Theorem 6.18. *Any KdV subset S can be transformed to the KdV subset $S^{\emptyset}$ by a sequence of mutations.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$ be the leading term of S. The number $|S| = a_N - a_1$ will be called the *width of* S. The width is not less than $N-1$. If the width is $N-1$, then $S = S^{\emptyset}$ by Lemma 6.15.

Assume that $|S| > N - 1$. Then $|S[a_N]| < |S|$. Repeating this procedure we will make the width to be equal to $N - 1$. ☐

Corollary 6.19. *If $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$ is the leading term of a KdV subset, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}. \tag{6.13}$$

Proof. The leading term of $S^{\emptyset}$ has this property and mutations do not change the sum of the elements of the leading term. ☐

Theorem 6.20. *A subset $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$ is the leading term of a KdV subset if and only if equation* (6.13) *holds and $a_i - a_j$ is not divisible by N for any $i \neq j$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.18. ☐

6.6. **mKdV tuples of subsets.** We say that an N-tuple $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ of KdV subsets is an *mKdV tuple of subsets* if $S_i + 1 \subset S_{i+1}$ for all i, in particular, $S_N + 1 \subset S_1$.

For example, for any N

$$\boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset} = (S^{\emptyset}, \dots, S^{\emptyset})$$

is an mKdV tuple of subsets.

Lemma 6.21. *If $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ is an mKdV tuple, then for any i, $(S_i, S_{i+1}, \dots, S_N, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{i-1})$ is an mKdV tuple of subsets.* ☐

Let S be a KdV subset with leading term $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$. Let σ be an element of the permutation group Σ_N. Define an N-tuple $\boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ where

$$S_i = \{a_{\sigma(1)} + i - N, a_{\sigma(2)} + i - N, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)} + i - N\} + (S + i), \tag{6.14}$$

in particular, $S_N = A \cup (S + N) = S$.

Lemma 6.22. *The N-tuple $\boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma}$ is an mKdV tuple.* ☐

For example for $S = S^{\emptyset}$, $\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset}$, we have $\boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset} = \boldsymbol{S}_{S^{\emptyset},\sigma}$, where $\sigma = (N, N-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ is the longest permutation.

Theorem 6.23. *Every mKdV tuple is of the form* $\boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma}$ *for some KdV subset* S *and some element* $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$.

Proof. The theorem follows from Lemma 6.17. ☐

Corollary 6.24. *For any KdV subset* S *there exists exactly* $N!$ *mKdV tuples* $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ *such that* $S_N = S$.

6.7. **Mutations of mKdV tuples.** Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be an mKdV tuple. By Theorem 6.23, we have $\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{S}_{S_N,\sigma}$ for some permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ be the leading term of S_N. Then S_i are given by formula (6.14).

Lemma 6.25. *For any* $i = 1, \dots, N$, *there exists a unique mKdV tuple*

$$\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)} = (S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}, \tilde{S}_i, S_{i+1}, \dots, S_N) \tag{6.15}$$

which differs from $\boldsymbol{S}$ *at the* i*-th position only.*

The mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ will be called the *mutation of the mKdV tuple* $\boldsymbol{S}$ *at the* i*-th position.* Denote $w_i : \boldsymbol{S} \mapsto \boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ the mutation map.

Proof. First assume that $i < N$. Let $s_{i,i+1} \in \Sigma_N$ be the transposition of i and $i+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \circ s_{i,i+1}(1), \dots, \sigma \circ s_{i,i+1}(N)) = \\ = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(i-1), \sigma(i+1), \sigma(i), \sigma(i+2), \dots, \sigma(N)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

Set $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma\circ s_{i,i+1}}$. By formulas (6.16) and (6.14) the mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ differs from $\boldsymbol{S}$ at the i-th position only and the i-th term of $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ is

$$\tilde{S}_i = \{a_{\sigma(1)} + i - N, a_{\sigma(2)} + i - N, \dots, a_{\sigma(i-1)} + i - N, a_{\sigma(i+1)} + i - N\} + (S + i). \tag{6.17}$$

The fact that $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ is unique follows from Theorem 6.23.

In order to mutate $\boldsymbol{S}$ at the N-th position, we consider the mKdV tuple $(S_N, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1})$, see Lemma 6.21, and then mutate this tuple at the first position. ☐

Lemma 6.26. *Let* $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ *be an mKdV tuple. For any* i *let* $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)} = (S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}, \tilde{S}_i, S_{i+1}, \dots, S_N)$ *be the mutation at the* i*-th position. Then the four KdV subsets* S_i, $\tilde{S}_i$, S_{i-1}, S_{i+1} *satisfy the conditions of Theorem 6.10 and*

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(F_{S_i}, F_{\tilde{S}_i}) \,=\, \pm\, F_{S_{i-1}} F_{S_{i+1}}. \tag{6.18}$$

☐

Let λ^i, $\tilde{\lambda}^i$ be the partitions corresponding to the KdV subsets S_i, $\tilde{S}_i$, respectively. Recall that $\deg_{t_1} F_{S_i} = |\lambda^i|$ and $\deg_{t_1} F_{\tilde{S}_i} = |\tilde{\lambda}^i|$.

The mutation $w_i : \boldsymbol{S} \mapsto \boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ will be called *degree decreasing* if $\deg_{t_1} F_{\tilde{S}_i} < \deg_{t_1} F_{S_i}$.

Theorem 6.27. *Any mKdV tuple* $\boldsymbol{S}$ *can be transformed to the mKdV tuple* $\boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset} = (S^{\emptyset}, \dots, S^{\emptyset})$ *by a sequence of degree decreasing mutations.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$. Let s_0^i be the minimal element in S_i. Denote $s_{\min} = \min(s_0^1, \dots, s_0^N)$. Denote d the number of i's such that $s_0^i = s_{\min}$.

Lemma 6.28. *If $d = N$, then $\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset}$.* ☐

Thus we may assume that $d < N$. Now we will describe a degree decreasing mutation of $\boldsymbol{S}$ which will decreases d by one if $d > 1$ and which will increase $s_{\min}$ if $d = 1$. That will prove the theorem.

Denote $S = S_N$. Then $\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$. Let $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$ be the leading term of S.

Lemma 6.29. *We have*

$$s_{min} = \min\{a_{\sigma(1)} + 1 - N, a_{\sigma(2)} + 2 - N, \dots, a_{\sigma(N)} + N - N\}. \tag{6.19}$$

☐

Since $d < N$ we may choose i such that $a_{\sigma(i)} + i - N = s_{\min} < a_{\sigma(i+1)} + i + 1 - N$. Then the mutation $w_i : \boldsymbol{S} \mapsto \boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}$ decreases d by one if $d > 1$ and increases $s_{\min}$ if $d = 1$. The theorem is proved. ☐

Corollary 6.30. *Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be an mKdV tuple of subsets such that $\boldsymbol{S} \neq \boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset}$. Let $(\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^N)$ be the corresponding partitions. Then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $2|\lambda^i| > |\lambda^{i+1}| + |\lambda^{i-1}| + 1$.* ☐

For example, let $N = 3$ and $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$ with

$$S_1 = \{-1 < 0 < 2 < 3 < \dots\}, \quad S_2 = \{-2, 0, 1, 3, 4, \dots\}, \quad S_3 = \{-1 < 1 < 2 < \dots\}. \tag{6.20}$$

Then $s_{\min} = -2$ and the mutation w_2 produces the triple $\boldsymbol{S}^{(2)} = (S_1, \tilde{S}_2, S_3)$, $\tilde{S}_2 = \{0 < 1 < \dots\}$ and decreases $s_{\min}$.

6.8. mKdV tuples and critical points.

Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be an mKdV tuple. Let $(\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^N)$ be the N-tuple of the corresponding partitions provided by Lemma 6.8. Let $(F_{\lambda^1}(t_1, t), \dots, F_{\lambda^N}(t_1, t))$ be the corresponding tuple of Schur polynomials.

Theorem 6.31. *For a generic fixed t, the tuple $(F_{\lambda^1}(x, t), \dots, F_{\lambda^N}(x, t))$ of polynomials in x represents a critical point of the master function Φ given by formula* (1.1) *where the numbers $k_1, \dots, k_N$ are the numbers $|\lambda^1|, \dots, |\lambda^N|$.*

For example, if $N = 3$ and $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$ is given by (6.25), then $\lambda^1 = (1, 1), \lambda^2 = (2, 1, 1), \lambda^3 = (1)$ is the corresponding triple of partitions. For a generic fixed t the triple $(F_{\lambda^1}(x, t), F_{\lambda^2}(x, t), F_{\lambda^3}(x, t))$ represents a critical point of the master function with 7 variables $(u_i^{(j)})$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $i = 1, \dots, k_j$, with $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 4, 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 6.31. For generic fixed t and any i, all roots of $F_{\lambda^i}(x, t)$ are simple and the polynomials $F_{\lambda^i}(x, t), F_{\lambda^{i+1}}(x, t)$ do not have common roots, see Corollaries 6.2 and 6.3. For any fixed t the tuple $(F_{\lambda^1}(x, t), \dots, F_{\lambda^N}(x, t))$ is fertile by Lemma 6.26. Now the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1. ☐

6.9. **mKdV tuples and the generation of critical points.** Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be an mKdV tuple. Let $(F_{S_1}(x,t), \dots, F_{S_N}(x,t))$ be the corresponding tuple of Schur polynomials. By Lemma 6.6, there exist numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ such that

$$y_{\boldsymbol{S}}(x,t) = (\alpha_1 F_{S_1}(x,t), \dots, \alpha_N F_{S_N}(x,t))$$

is a tuple of monic polynomials in x depending on parameters t.

Recall that $t = (t_2, t_3, \dots)$ is an infinite sequence of parameters but the tuple $y_{\boldsymbol{S}}(x,t)$ depends only on finitely many of them, say on $t_{\boldsymbol{S}} = (t_2, t_3, \dots, t_{d+1})$ for some integer d. We introduce the map

$$Y_{\boldsymbol{S}} : \mathbb{C}^d \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad t_{\boldsymbol{S}} \mapsto y_{\boldsymbol{S}}(x, t_{\boldsymbol{S}}). \tag{6.21}$$

By Theorem 6.27, there exists a sequence $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$, $1 \leqslant j_\ell \leqslant N$, such that $w_{j_1} w_{j_2} \dots w_{j_m} : \boldsymbol{S} \mapsto \boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset}$ and each mutation $w_{j_\ell} : w_{j_{\ell+1}} \dots w_{j_m} \boldsymbol{S} \mapsto w_{j_\ell} \dots w_{j_m} \boldsymbol{S}$ is degree decreasing.

Recall the map $Y^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N$, the generation of N-tuples of polynomials in x from the tuple $y^{\emptyset} = (1, \dots, 1)$ in the J-th direction, defined in Section 3.6.

Theorem 6.32. *The map $Y_{\boldsymbol{S}}$ can be induced from the map Y^J by a suitable polynomial map $f : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^m$, that is $Y_{\boldsymbol{S}} = Y^J \circ f$.*

Theorem 6.32 says that every family of critical points provided my Theorem 6.31 appears as a subfamily of critical points generated from the tuple $y^{\emptyset}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on m. For $m = 0$ we have $\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{S}^{\emptyset}$, $y_{\boldsymbol{S}} = (1, \dots, 1)$, $Y_{\boldsymbol{S}} : \mathbb{C}^d \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ is the constant map $t_{\boldsymbol{S}} \mapsto (1, \dots, 1)$. The generation map $Y^{\emptyset} : \mathbb{C}^0 \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ is the map $(pt) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1)$. The map $f : \mathbb{C}^d \to (pt)$ has the property required in the theorem.

Now assume that the theorem is proved for the sequence $\tilde{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_{m-1})$. We have the maps

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{\tilde{J}} : \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, &\qquad \tilde{c} \mapsto (y_1(x,\tilde{c}), \dots, y_N(x,\tilde{c})), \\ Y^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, &\qquad (\tilde{c}, c_m) \mapsto (y_1(x,\tilde{c}), \dots, y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde{c}) + c_m y_{j_m}(x,\tilde{c}), \dots, y_N(x,\tilde{c})), \end{aligned}$$

see formulas (3.6), (3.12). Recall that $\tilde{y}_{j_m,0}$ is the monic polynomial in x satisfying the equation

$$\mathrm{Wr}_x(y_{j_m}, \tilde{y}_{j_m,0}) = \text{ const } y_{j_m-1} y_{j_m+1} \tag{6.22}$$

and such that the coefficient of $x^{\deg y_{j_m}}$ in $\tilde{y}_{j_m,0}$ equals zero, see Section 3.4.

We also have the mKdV tuples $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$, $\boldsymbol{S}^{(j_m)} = (S_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_{j_m}, \dots, S_N)$ and the corresponding tuples of monic polynomials in x depending on parameters $t_S = (t_2, \dots, t_{d+1})$, namely,

$$(\alpha_1 F_{S_1}(x, t_{\boldsymbol{S}}), \dots, \alpha_N F_{S_N}(x, t_{\boldsymbol{S}})), \quad (\alpha_1 F_{S_1}(x, t_{\boldsymbol{S}}), \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{j_m} F_{\tilde{S}_{j_m}}(x, t_{\boldsymbol{S}}), \dots, \alpha_N F_{S_N}(x, t_{\boldsymbol{S}})).$$

We know that

$$\mathrm{Wr}_x(F_{S_{j_m}}, F_{\tilde{S}_{j_m}}) = \text{ const } F_{S_{j_m-1}} F_{S_{j_m+1}}. \tag{6.23}$$

We have the maps

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\boldsymbol{S}} : \mathbb{C}^d \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad & t_{\boldsymbol{S}} \mapsto (\alpha_1 F_{S_1}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}}),\dots,\alpha_N F_{S_N}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}})),\\ Y_{\boldsymbol{S}^{(jm)}} : \mathbb{C}^d \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad & t_{\boldsymbol{S}} \mapsto (\alpha_1 F_{S_1}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}}),\dots,\tilde\alpha_{j_m} F_{\tilde S_{j_m}}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}}),\dots,\alpha_N F_{S_N}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}})). \end{aligned}$$

By induction assumptions we have a polynomial map $\tilde f : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ such that $Y_{\boldsymbol{S}^{(jm)}} = Y^{\tilde J} \circ \tilde f$.

From formulas (6.22), (6.23), it is clear that there exists a unique scalar polynomial $f_{j_m}(t_{\boldsymbol{S}})$ such that

$$\alpha_{j_m} F_{S_{j_m}}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}}) = y_{j_m,0}(x,\tilde f(y_{\boldsymbol{S}})) + f_{j_m}(t_{\boldsymbol{S}})\tilde\alpha_{j_m} F_{\tilde S_{j_m}}(x,t_{\boldsymbol{S}}).$$

Then the map $f : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^m, y_{\boldsymbol{S}} \mapsto (\tilde f(y_{\boldsymbol{S}}), f_{j_m}(t_{\boldsymbol{S}}))$ has the required property. The theorem is proved. □

6.10. **mKdV tuples and differential operators.** Let S be a KdV subset with the leading term $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$. Recall the mutations $S[a]$, $a \in A$. These are KdV subsets defined in (6.12).

For a permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ consider the mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma} = (S_1,\dots,S_N)$. Recall that $S_N = S$. Consider the corresponding tuple of the Schur polynomials $(F_{S_1}(x,t),\dots,F_{S_N}(x,t))$ and the differential operator

(6.24)
$$\mathcal{D}_S = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_N}(x,t)}{F_{S_{N-1}}(x,t)}\right)\right)\left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_{N-1}}(x,t)}{F_{S_{N-2}}(x,t)}\right)\right)\dots\left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_1}(x,t)}{F_{S_N}(x,t)}\right)\right)$$

with respect to x. Here $()'$ denotes the derivative with respect to x. The differential operator depends on t as a parameter.

Theorem 6.33. *The differential operator $\mathcal{D}_S$ does not depend on the permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$. For every fixed t, the rational functions $F_{S[a]}(x,t)/F_S(x,t)$, $a \in A$, form a basis of the kernel of $\mathcal{D}_S$.*

Proof. The first statement of the theorem is a direct corollary of Lemma 5.2 in [MV1], Theorem 5.3 in [MV1] and Lemma 6.26 in Section 6.7. The second statement follows from Lemma 5.6 in [MV1]. □

Example. For $N = 3$, consider the KdV subset $S = \{-1 < 0 < 1 < 2 < \dots\}$ with leading term $A = \{-1 < 0 < 4\}$. Consider the mKdV triple $\boldsymbol{S}_{S,\sigma} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$ with $(\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\sigma(3)) = (2,3,1)$. Here $S_1 = \{-2 < 0 < 1 < 3 < \dots\}$, $S_2 = \{-1 < 1 < 2 < \dots\}$, $S_3 = \{-1 < 0 < 1 < 2 < \dots\}$. We have $S[-1] = \{-3 < 0 < 1 < 3 < \dots\}$, $S[0] = \{-2 < 0 < 1 < 3 < \dots\}$, $S[4] = \{0 < 1 < \dots\}$. Then the functions $F_{S[a]}/F_S, a \in \{-1 < 0 < 4\}$, form a basis of the kernel of the differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}_S = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_3}}{F_{S_2}}\right)\right)\left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_2}}{F_{S_1}}\right)\right)\left(\frac{d}{dx} - \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_1}}{F_{S_3}}\right)\right). \tag{6.25}$$

6.11. **Identities for Schur functions.** In Section 6 we proved identities relating the Schur polynomials and its derivatives with respect to t_1, see formula (6.8), Theorem 6.33, Section 6.4. By formula (6.6), the derivatives of a Schur polynomial can be expressed as a linear combination with integer coefficients of Schur polynomials. Thus, each of those identities can be written as a polynomial relation with integer coefficients for Schur functions. Since the Schur polynomials are the characters of representations of the general linear group, each of such identities can be interpreted as an isomorphism of two representations.

For example, we have $W_{t_1}(F_{(2,1)}, F_{(0)}) = F_{(1)}F_{(1)}$ by formula (6.6). This identity can be written as

$$F_{(2)} + F_{(1,1)} = F_{(1)}F_{(1)},$$

by formula (6.6) and can be interpreted as the statement that the tensor square of the representation with highest weight (1) is the direct sum of two representations with highest weights (2) and $(1,1)$.

6.12. **Mutations of mKdV tuples and the affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$.** The affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_{A_1}$ is generated by elements w_1, w_2 subject to the relations $w_1^2 = w_2^2 = 1$.

For $N > 2$, the affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$ is generated by elements $w_1, \dots, w_N$. We consider the indices of the generators modulo N, in particular, $w_0 := w_N$ and $w_{N+1} := w_1$. The relations are $w_i^2 = 1$, $w_iw_{i+1}w_i = w_{i+1}w_iw_{i+1}$ for all i and $w_iw_j = w_jw_i$ otherwise.

For $N > 1$, denote $\mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$ the set of all mKdV N-tuples. In Section 6.7 we defined the mutation maps $w_i : \mathcal{S}_{mKdV} \to \mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Theorem 6.34. *The mutation maps define a transitive action of the Weyl group $\widehat{W}_{A_{N-1}}$ on the set $\mathcal{S}_{mKdV}$.* □

7. Tau-functions and critical points

7.1. **Subspaces of H.** For a Laurent polynomial

$$v = \sum_i v_i z^i, \tag{7.1}$$

the number ord $v = \min\{i : v_i \neq 0\}$ will be called the *order* of v.

Following [SW], let H be the Hilbert space $L^2(S^1)$ with natural orthonormal basis $\{z^j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Let H_+ be the closure of the span of $\{z^j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ and H_- the closure of the span of $\{z^j\}_{j<0}$. We have the orthogonal decomposition $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$.

Denote $\mathrm{Gr}_0(H)$ the set of all closed subspaces $W \subset H$ such that

$$z^q H_+ \subset W \subset z^{-q} H_+ \tag{7.2}$$

for some $q > 0$. Such subspaces can be identified with subspaces W/z^qH_+ of $z^{-q}H_+/z^qH_+$. Let $p_+ : W/z^qH_+ \to H_+/z^qH_+$ be the orthogonal projection. We say that W is of *virtual dimension zero* if $\dim(\ker p_+) = \dim(\operatorname{coker} p_+)$, in other words if $\dim W/z^qH_+ = \dim H_+/z^qH_+ = q$. Denote $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ the set of all subspaces of virtual dimension zero.

A subspace $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_0(H)$ has a basis $\{v_j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ consisting of Laurent polynomials. We may assume that the numbers $s_j = \operatorname{ord} v_j$ form a strictly increasing sequence $S_W = \{s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots\}$ and v_j are just monomials for sufficiently large j. The assignment $W \mapsto S_W$ is well-defined. The subset S_W will be called the *order subset* of W.

Lemma 7.1. *A subspace $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_0(H)$ lies in $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ if and only if the order subset S_W is of virtual cardinal zero, that is $s_j = j$ for sufficiently large j.* □

Lemma 7.2. *If the order subset of $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_0(H)$ is $S^{\emptyset} = \{0 < 1 < \dots\}$, then $W = H_+$.* □

For $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ we may assume that $v_j = z^j$ for sufficiently large j. We say that a basis $\{v_j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ of $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ is *special* if it consists of Laurent polynomials such that $v_j = z^j$ for sufficiently large j and the numbers $s_j = \operatorname{ord} v_j$ form a strictly increasing sequence.

7.2. **Subspaces in $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ and subspaces in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.** Let $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$. Let $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\}$ be the order subset of W. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \dots)$ with $\lambda_j = j - s_j$ be the corresponding partition. Let n be such that $s_j = j$ for $j > n$ and hence $\lambda_j = 0$ for $j > n$. Let $\{v_j = \sum_{i\geqslant s_j} v_{j,i} z^i\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ be a special basis of W such that $v_j = z^j$ for $j > n$ and $v_{j,i} = 0$ if $i > n$ and $j \leqslant n$.

Introduce the $n+1$-dimensional subspace $V_{W,n} \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ as the subspace spanned by the polynomials $f_{j,n}(x), j = 0, \dots, n$, given by the formula

$$f_{j,n}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_j+n-j} v_{j,n-i} \frac{x^i}{i!}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, n. \tag{7.3}$$

We have $\deg f_j = \lambda_j + n - j$ for all j. The relation between $V_{W,n}$ and $V_{W,n+1}$ is given by the formula

$$f_{j,n+1}(x) = \int_0^x f_{j,n}(u)du \quad \text{for} \quad j = 0, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n+1,n+1}(x) = 1. \tag{7.4}$$

In other words, $V_{W,n+1} = \int V_{W,n} dx$.

Conversely, let $\lambda = (\lambda_0 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \dots)$ be a partition and $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\}$, with $s_j = \lambda_j - j$, the corresponding subset of virtual cardinal zero. Let n be such that $\lambda_j = 0$ for $j > n$. Denote $\mathrm{Gr}(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x])$ the variety of all $n+1$-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that V has a basis consisting of polynomials $f_j, j = 0, \dots, n$, with $\deg f_j = \lambda_j + n - j$.

Denote $P = \{\lambda_0 + n, \lambda_1 + n - 1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Every $V \in \mathrm{Gr}(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x])$ has a unique basis

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_j+n-j} v_{j,n-i} \frac{x^i}{i!}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, n, \tag{7.5}$$

where $v_{j,n-i}$ are some numbers such that $v_{j,j-\lambda_j} = 1$ and $v_{j,n-i} = 0$ if $n - i \in P - \{\lambda_j + n - j\}$. The variety $\mathrm{Gr}(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x])$ is an affine space of dimension $|\lambda|$ with coordinates $\{v_{j,n-i}\}$. The basis of $V \in \mathrm{Gr}(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x])$ given by (7.5) will be called *special*.

To every $V \in \mathrm{Gr}(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x])$ we assign $W_V \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ with the basis $\{v_j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$, where

$$v_j = \sum_i v_{j,i} z^i, \qquad \text{for} \quad j = 0, \dots, n, \tag{7.6}$$

$v_j = z^j$ for $j > n$.

Lemma 7.3. *If W is as above, then $W_{V_{W,n}} = W$. If $V \in Gr(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x])$, then the order set of W_V is $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\}$ with $s_j = j - \lambda_j$ and $V_{W_V,n} = V$.* □

Let $\mathbb{C}_{|\lambda|}[x]$ be the affine space of monic polynomials of degree $|\lambda|$. It has dimension $|\lambda|$. Define the Wronsky map

$$\mathrm{Wr}_\lambda : \mathrm{Gr}(\lambda, n, \mathbb{C}[x]) \to \mathbb{C}_{|\lambda|}[x], \quad V \mapsto \frac{1}{\prod_{0\leqslant i<j\leqslant n}(\lambda_j - \lambda_i + i - j)} \ \mathrm{Wr}(f_0, \dots, f_n), \tag{7.7}$$

where $f_0, \dots, f_n$ is the special basis of V. It is well-known that Wr_λ is a map of finite degree d_λ, which is calculated in terms of Schubert calculus or representation theory, see for example, [MTV3], [S].

7.3. **Tau-functions.** For a subspace $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ with a special basis $\{v_j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ such that $v_j = z^j$ for $j > n$, we define the tau-function by the formula

$$\tau_W(t_1, t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i v_{i,0}h_{-i} & \sum_i v_{i,0}h_{-i+1} & \dots & \sum_i v_{i,0}h_{-i+n} \\ \sum_i v_{i,1}h_{-i} & \sum_i v_{i,1}h_{-i+1} & \dots & \sum_i v_{i,1}h_{-i+n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_i v_{i,n}h_{-i} & \sum_i v_{i,n}h_{-i+1} & \dots & \sum_i v_{i,n}h_{-i+n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.8}$$

see [SW], c.f. (7.1). The tau-function is a polynomial in a finite number of variables t_1, t. For example, $\tau_{H_+} = 1$.

The tau-function is independent of the choice of n and the choice of a special basis up to multiplication of the tau-function by a nonzero number.

Example. Let $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be a subset of virtual cardinal zero. Let W_S be the subspace with basis $\{z^{s_i}\}_{i\geqslant 0}$. Then the tau-function τ_{W_S} of W_S equals the Schur polynomial F_S.

Lemma 7.4. *We have*

$$\tau_W = \mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}\left(\sum_i v_{i,0}h_{-i+n}, \dots, \sum_i v_{i,d}h_{-i+n}\right). \tag{7.9}$$

□

Lemma 7.5. *Let W be as in Lemma 7.4. Let the polynomials $f_0, \dots, f_n$ be given by formula* (7.3)*. Then*

$$\tau_W(t_1 = x, t = 0) = \mathrm{Wr}(f_0(-x), \dots, f_n(-x)). \tag{7.10}$$

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 7.4 and formula (6.1). □

Theorem 7.6. *Let λ be a partition, S the corresponding set of virtual cardinal zero, $g(x)$ a polynomial of degree $|\lambda|$. Then the set of subspaces $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ such that $\tau_W(t_1 = x, t = 0) = g(x)$ is finite. The number of such subspaces (counted with multiplicities) equals d_λ.* □

7.4. **Properties of tau-functions.** Let $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\}$, $S' = \{s'_0 < s'_1 < \dots\}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{Z}$ of virtual cardinal zero. We say that $S \leqslant S'$ if $s_j \leqslant s'_j$ for all j. Let λ, λ' be the partitions corresponding to S, S', respectively. If $S \leqslant S'$ and $S \neq S'$, then $|\lambda| > |\lambda'|$.

Lemma 7.7. *Let a subspace $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ have order set $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\}$. Then*

$$\tau_W = \sum_{S' \geqslant S} w_{S'} F_{S'}, \tag{7.11}$$

where the sum is over the subsets S' of virtual cardinal zero such that $S \leqslant S'$, $F_{S'}$ is the Schur polynomial associated with S', $w_{S'}$ is a suitable number, and $w_S \neq 0$. ☐

Corollary 7.8. *We have*

$$\tau_W \,=\, a\, t_1^{|\lambda|} \,+\, (\textit{low order terms in } t_1), \tag{7.12}$$

where a is a nonzero number independent of t_1, t.

We define the *normalized tau-function* by the formula $\tilde\tau_W = \mathrm{const}\ \tau_W$ where the const is chosen so that

$$\tilde\tau_W \,=\, t_1^{|\lambda|} \,+\, (\text{low order terms in } t_1). \tag{7.13}$$

Let S be a subset of virtual cardinal zero. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be a finite subset of distinct integers. Assume that $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cap (S + k) = \emptyset$. Let $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ be a subspace whose order subset is S. Let $v_1, \dots, v_k$ be Laurent polynomials such that $\operatorname{ord} v_i = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Lemma 7.9. *The subspace $z^k W + \mathrm{span}\langle v_1, \dots, v_k\rangle$ is an element of $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cup (S + k)$ is its order subset.* ☐

Let S be a subset of virtual cardinal zero. Assume that the two element subset $A = \{a_1 < a_2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $A \cap (S+1) = \emptyset$. Let $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ be a subspace whose order subset is S. Let v_1, v_2 be Laurent polynomials such that $\operatorname{ord} v_i = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the subspaces $W_1 = zW + \mathit{span}\langle v_1\rangle$, $W_2 = zW + \mathit{span}\langle v_2\rangle$ $W_3 = W$, $W_4 = z^2 W + \mathit{span}\langle z v_1, z v_2\rangle$ are elements of $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$, by Lemma 7.9.

Theorem 7.10. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(\tau_{W_1}, \tau_{W_2}) \,=\, \mathrm{const}\ \ \tau_{W_3}\tau_{W_4}, \tag{7.14}$$

where const *is a nonzero number independent of t_1, t.*

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 6.4 and is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.10. ☐

Notice that Theorem 6.10 is a particular case of Theorem 7.10.

Similarly to Section 6.4, one can obtain more general Wronskian identities for tau-functions from Lemmas 6.11 and 6.12.

Lemma 7.11. *Let $W_1, W_2 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ and $z^k W_1 \subset W_2$ for some positive integer k. Then $\dim W_2 / z^k W_1 = k$.*

Proof. Since $z^k W_1 \subset W_2$, the order set S_{W_2} contains the order set $S_{z^k W_1}$. Since $W_1, W_2 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ the difference $S_{W_2} - S_{z^k W_1}$ consists of k elements. This proves the lemma. ☐

7.5. **KdV subspaces.** Fix an integer $N > 1$. We say that a subspace $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}(H)$ is a *KdV subspace* if $z^N W \subset W$. For example, for any N the subspace H_+ is a KdV subspace.

Lemma 7.12. *Let W be a KdV subspace with order subset S. Then S is a KdV subset.* $\square$

Let S be a KdV subset with leading term A. Recall the mutation KdV subsets $S[a] = \{a+1-N\} \cup (S+1)$, $a \in A$.

Lemma 7.13. *Let W_1 be a KdV subspace. Let S_{W_1} be the order subset of W_1 and A_1 the leading term of S_{W_1}. Let $a \in A_1$ and let $w \in W_1$ be such that $\mathrm{ord}\, w = a$. Then $W_2 = zW_1 + \mathrm{span}\langle z^{1-N} w\rangle$ is a KdV subspace with leading term $S_{W_1}[a]$ and such that $zW_1 \subset W_2$.* $\square$

Lemma 7.14. *Let W_1, W_2 be KdV subspaces such that $zW_1 \subset W_2$. Let S_{W_1} be the order subset of W_1 and A_1 the leading term of S_{W_1}. Then the order subset S_{W_2} is a mutation of S_{W_1}, that is $S_{W_2} = S_{W_1}[a]$ for some $a \in A$. Moreover there exists $w \in W_1$ such that $\mathrm{ord}\, w = a$ and $W_2 = zW_1 + \mathrm{span}\langle z^{1-N} w\rangle$.* $\square$

7.6. **mKdV tuples of subspaces.** We say that an N-tuple $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N)$ of KdV subspaces is an *mKdV tuple of subspaces* if $zW_i \subset W_{i+1}$ for all i, in particular, $zW_N \subset W_1$. Denote Gr_{mKdV} the set of all mKdV tuples of subspaces.

For example, for any N

$$\boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset} = (H_+, \dots, H_+)$$

is an mKdV tuple.

Let $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$. Let $(\tau_{W_1}, \dots, \tau_{W_N})$ be the tuple of the corresponding tau-functions. Define the Miura oper $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{W}} = \partial + \Lambda + V$ by the formula

$$V = \mathrm{diag}\left(\log'\left(\frac{\tau_{W_1}(x+t_1,t)}{\tau_{W_N}(x+t_1,t)}\right), \log'\left(\frac{\tau_{W_2}(x+t_1,t)}{\tau_{W_1}(x+t_1,t)}\right), \dots, \log'\left(\frac{\tau_{W_N}(x+t_1,t)}{\tau_{W_{N-1}}(x+t_1,t)}\right)\right). \tag{7.15}$$

This Miura oper depends on parameters t_1, t.

Example. Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be an mKdV tuple of subsets. Then $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_{S_1}, \dots, W_{S_N})$ is an mKdV tuple of subspaces. For this $\boldsymbol{W}$ formula (7.15) takes the form

$$V = \mathrm{diag}\left(\log'\left(\frac{F_{S_1}(x+t_1,t)}{F_{S_N}(x+t_1,t)}\right), \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_2}(x+t_1,t)}{F_{S_1}(x+t_1,t)}\right), \dots, \log'\left(\frac{F_{S_N}(x+t_1,t)}{F_{S_{N-1}}(x+t_1,t)}\right)\right).$$

Theorem 7.15 ([W])**.** *For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the Miura oper $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{W}}$ satisfies the r-th mKdV equation, see* (2.5)*.*

7.7. **Properties of mKdV tuples of subspaces.**

Lemma 7.16. *If $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$, then for any i, $(W_i, W_{i+1}, \dots, W_N, W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{i-1}) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$.* $\square$

Lemma 7.17. *Let $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$. Let S_i be the order subset of W_i and $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$. Then $\boldsymbol{S}$ is an mKdV tuple of subsets.* $\square$

Let W be a KdV subspace with order subset S. Let $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_N\}$ be the leading term of S. Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_N)$ be a tuple of elements of W such that $\operatorname{ord} v_i = a_i$ for all i. Let σ be an element of the permutation group Σ_N. Define an N-tuple $\boldsymbol{W}_{W,v,\sigma} = (W_1, \dots, W_N)$ of subspaces by the formula

$$W_i = \{z^{i-N} v_{\sigma(1)}, z^{i-N} v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, z^{i-N} v_{\sigma(i)}\} + z^i W, \tag{7.16}$$

in particular, $W_N = z^N W + \mathit{span}\langle v_1, \dots, v_N\rangle = W$.

Lemma 7.18. *The N-tuple $\boldsymbol{W}_{W,v,\sigma}$ is an mKdV tuple of subspaces. Every mKdV tuple of subspaces is of the form $\boldsymbol{W}_{W,v,\sigma}$ for suitable W, v, σ.* ☐

Here is another description of mKdV tuples of subspaces.

Theorem 7.19. *Let $z^N W = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{N-1} \subset V_N = W$ be a complete flag of vector subspaces such that $\dim V_i/V_{i-1} = 1$ for all i. Set*

$$W_i = z^{i-N} V_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{7.17}$$

Then $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_{N-1}, W_N = W)$ is an mKdV tuple of subspaces. Every mKdV tuple of subspaces is of this form. ☐

Let W be a KdV subspace. It follows from Theorem 7.19 that the set of mKdV tuples of subspaces with the prescribed last term $W_N = W$ is identified with the set of complete flags in $W/z^N W$.

7.8. **Generation of new mKdV tuples of subspaces.** Let $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$. By Theorem 7.19, the tuple $\boldsymbol{W}$ is determined by a flag $z^N W_N = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{N-1} \subset W_N$. The quotient V_2/V_0 is two-dimensional. Any line $\tilde V_1/V_0$ in V_2/V_0 determines a flag $z^N W_N = V_0 \subset \tilde V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{N-1} \subset W_N$ which in its turn determines an mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1)} = (\tilde W_1, W_2, \dots, W_N)$ with $\tilde W_1 = z^{1-N} \tilde V_1$. Thus we get a family of mKdV tuples of subspaces parameterized by points of the projective line $P(V_2/V_0)$. The new tuples are parametrized by points of the affine line $\mathbb{A} = P(V_2/V_0) - \{V_1/V_0\}$. We get a map $X^{(1)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$ which sends $a \in \mathbb{A}$ to the corresponding mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}(a) = (\tilde W_1(a), W_2, \dots, W_N)$. This map will be called the *generation of mKdV tuples from the tuple $\boldsymbol{W}$ in the first direction.*

Similarly, for any $i = 1, \dots, N$, we construct a map $X^{(i)} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$, where $\mathbb{A} = P(V_{i+1}/V_{i-1}) - \{V_i/V_{i-1}\}$ which sends $a \in \mathbb{A}$ to the corresponding mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{W}^{(i)}(a) = (W_1, \dots, \tilde W_i(a), \dots, W_N)$. This map will be called the *generation of mKdV tuples of subspaces from the tuple $\boldsymbol{W}$ in the i-th direction.*

We will say that the generation in the i-th direction is *degree increasing* if for any $a \in \mathbb{A}$, we have $\deg_{t_1} \tau_{\boldsymbol{W}^{(i)}(a)} > \deg_{t_1} \tau_{\boldsymbol{W}}$.

The tau-function $\tau_{\tilde W_i(a)}$ depends on a linearly in the following sense. Let $\{v_i\}_{i \geqslant 1}$ be a basis of V_{i-1}. Let $v_0 \in V_i$ be such that $\{v_i\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ is a basis of V_i. Let $\tilde v_0 \in V_{i+1}$ be such that $\{\tilde v_0, v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ is a basis of V_{i+1}. Then the points of $\mathbb{A} = P(V_{i+1}/V_{i-1}) - \{V_i/V_{i-1}\}$ are parametrized by complex numbers c. A number c corresponds to the line generated by the subspace $\tilde V_i(c)$ with basis $\{\tilde v_0 + c v_0, v_1, v_2 \dots\}$. This c is an affine coordinate on $\mathbb{A}$.

Calculating the tau-function of the subspace $\tilde W_i(c) = z^{i-N}\tilde V_i(c)$ with respect to the basis $\{z^{i-N}(\tilde v_0 + cv_0), z^{i-N}v_1, z^{i-N}v_2 \dots\}$ we get the formula

$$\tau_{\tilde W_i(c)} = \tau_{\tilde W_i(0)} + c\tau_{W_i}. \tag{7.18}$$

Theorem 7.20. *For the generation in the i-th direction, the tau-functions of the subspaces $\tilde W_i(c), W_i, W_{i-1}, W_{i+1}$ satisfy the equation*

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(\tau_{W_i}, \tau_{\tilde W_i(c)}) \,=\, \mathrm{const}\;\; \tau_{W_{i-1}}\tau_{W_{i+1}}, \tag{7.19}$$

where const *is a number independent of t_1, t.*

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 6.4 and is similar to the proofs of Theorems 6.10 and 7.10. □

Corollary 7.21. *For any mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N)$ and any fixed t, the tuple $(\tau_{W_1}(x,t), \dots, \tau_{W_N}(x,t))$ of polynomials in x is fertile.* □

7.9. **Normalized generation.** If the generation in the i-th direction is degree increasing, then the generation procedure can be normalized as follows.

Lemma 7.22. *Assume that the generation in the i-th direction is degree increasing. Then there exists a unique line $\tilde V_{i,0}/V_{i-1} \in V_{i+1}/V_{i-1}$ such that the subspace $\tilde W_{i,0} = z^{i-N}\tilde V_{i,0}$ has the following property. Consider the polynomial $\tilde\tau_{\tilde W_{i,0}}(t_1, t = 0)$ in t_1. Then the monomial $t_1^{\deg_{t_1}\tilde\tau_{W_i}}$ enters this polynomial with the zero coefficient.* □

Now choose $\{v_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$, a basis of V_{i-1}. Choose $v_0 \in V_i$ such that $\{v_i\}_{i\geqslant 0}$ is a basis of V_i and the tau-function of W_i with respect to the basis $\{z^{i-N}v_i\}_{i\geqslant 0}$ is the normalized tau-function $\tilde\tau_{W_i}$. Choose $\tilde v_0 \in V_{i+1}$ such that $\{\tilde v_0, v_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ is a basis of $V_{i,0}$ and the tau-function of $\tilde W_{i,0} = z^{i-N}\tilde V_{i,0}$ with respect to the basis $\{z^{i-N}\tilde v_0, z^{i-N}v_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ is the normalized tau-function $\tilde\tau_{\tilde W_{i,0}}$. Then we will parametrize the points of $\mathbb{A} = P(V_{i+1}/V_{i-1}) - \{V_i/V_{i-1}\}$ by complex numbers c, where a number c will correspond to the line generated by the subspace $\tilde V_i(c)$ with basis $\{\tilde v_0 + cv_0, v_1, v_2 \dots\}$. Calculating the tau-function of the subspace $\tilde W_i(c) = z^{i-N}\tilde V_i(c)$ with respect to the basis $\{z^{i-N}(\tilde v_0 + cv_0), z^{i-N}v_1, z^{i-N}v_2 \dots\}$ we get the formula

$$\tilde\tau_{\tilde W_i(c)} = \tilde\tau_{\tilde W_{i,0}} + c\tilde\tau_{W_i}. \tag{7.20}$$

We get a map

$$\tilde X^{(i)} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}, \qquad c \mapsto \boldsymbol{W}^{(i)}(c) = (W_1, \dots, \tilde W_i(c), \dots, W_N). \tag{7.21}$$

The map $\tilde X^{(i)}$ is independent of the choice of vectors $v_0, \tilde v_0$ and the basis $\{v_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$. This map will be called the *normalized generation in the i-th direction.*

7.10. **Multistep normalized generation in** Gr_{mKdV}**.** Let $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ be a degree increasing sequence of integers. Starting from the mKdV tuple $\boldsymbol{W}^\emptyset = (H_+, \dots, H_+)$ and J, we will construct by induction on m a map

$$\tilde X^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}.$$

If $J = \emptyset$, the map $\tilde{X}^{\emptyset}$ is the map $\mathbb{C}^0 = (pt) \mapsto \boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset}$. If $m = 1$ and $J = (j_1)$, the map $\tilde{X}^{(i_1)} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$ is given by formula (7.21) for $\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset}$ and $i = j_1$. In this case equations (7.19) and (7.20) take the form

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(1, \tau_{\tilde{W}_i(c)}) = \text{const}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{W}_i(c)} = t_1 + c.$$

Assume that for $\tilde{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_{m-1})$, the map $\tilde{X}^{\tilde{J}}$ is constructed. To obtain $\tilde{X}^J$ we apply the normalized generation procedure in the j_m-th direction to every tuple of the image of $\tilde{X}^{\tilde{J}}$. More precisely, if

$$\tilde{X}^{\tilde{J}} \;:\; \tilde{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \;\mapsto\; (W_1(\tilde{c}), \dots, W_N(\tilde{c})). \tag{7.22}$$

Then

$$\tilde{X}^J : \mathbb{C}^m \mapsto \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}, \quad (\tilde{c}, c_m) \mapsto (W_1(\tilde{c}), \dots, \tilde{W}_{j_m}(\tilde{c}, c_m), \dots, W_N(\tilde{c})), \tag{7.23}$$

where $\tilde{W}_{j_m}(\tilde{c}, c_m)$ is constructed as in Section 7.9. The map $\tilde{X}^J$ will be called the *normalized generation from* $\boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset}$ *in the* J*-th direction.*

It follows from the construction, that

$$\mathrm{Wr}_{t_1}(\tilde{\tau}_{W_{j_m}(\tilde{c})}(t_1, t), \tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{W}_{j_m}(\tilde{c}, c_m)}(t_1, t)) \;=\; \text{const}\; \tilde{\tau}_{W_{j_m-1}(\tilde{c})}(t_1, t)\, \tilde{\tau}_{W_{j_m+1}(\tilde{c})}(t_1, t), \tag{7.24}$$

where const is a nonzero integer depending on J only, and

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{W}_{j_m}(\tilde{c}, c_m)}(t_1, t) = \tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{W}_{j_m}(\tilde{c}, c_m = 0)}(t_1, t) + c_m \tilde{\tau}_{W_{j_m}(\tilde{c})}(t_1, t)). \tag{7.25}$$

For any $(\tilde{c}, c_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, consider the tuple

$$(\tilde{\tau}_{W_1(\tilde{c})}(t_1, t), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{W}_i(\tilde{c}, c_m)}(t_1, t), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{W_N(\tilde{c})}(t_1, t)) \tag{7.26}$$

of the normalized tau-functions of the tuple

$$\tilde{X}^J(\tilde{c}, c_m) = (W_1(\tilde{c}), \dots, \tilde{W}_{j_m}(\tilde{c}, c_m), \dots, W_N(\tilde{c})) \in \mathrm{Gr}_0(H). \tag{7.27}$$

Then each of the functions in (7.26) is a monic polynomial in t_1 and the degree vector of this tuple of polynomials in t_1 equals $\boldsymbol{k}^J$, see (3.10).

7.11. **Relations with the generation of critical points.** In Section 3.6 for any degree increasing sequence of integers $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ we constructed a map

$$Y^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad c \mapsto (y_1(x, c), \dots, y_N(x, c)), \tag{7.28}$$

called the generation of N-tuples from $y^{\emptyset}$ in the J-th direction. In Section 7.10 for any degree increasing sequence of integers J we constructed a map

$$\tilde{X}^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}, \qquad c \mapsto (W_1(c), \dots, W_N(c)),$$

called the normalized generation from $\boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset}$ in the J-th direction. Introduce a map

$$\tilde{\tau} : \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV} \to (\mathbb{C}[t_1, t])^N, \qquad (W_1, \dots, W_N) \mapsto (\tilde{\tau}_{W_1}(t_1, t), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{W_N}(t_1, t)), \tag{7.29}$$

which assigns the tuple of nomalized tau-functions to an mKdV tuple of subspaces. We get the composed map

$$\tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{Y}^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to (\mathbb{C}[t_1, t)])^N, \qquad c \mapsto (\tilde{\tau}_{W_1(c)}(t_1, t), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{W_N(c)}(t_1, t)). \tag{7.30}$$

Lemma 7.23. *For any $c \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we have*

$$Y^J(c) = (\tilde{\tau}_{W_1(c)}(t_1 = x, t = 0), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{W_N(c)}(t_1 = x, t = 0)). \tag{7.31}$$

□

Lemma 7.23 says that if a tuple $(y_1(x), \dots, y_N(x))$ represents a critical point which was generated from $y^{\emptyset}$ by a degree increasing generation, then there exists an mKdV tuple $(W_1, \dots, W_N)$ such that $(y_1(x), \dots, y_N(x))$ equals the right hand side in (7.31).

The polynomials in the image of $\tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{Y}^J$ depend all together only on a finitely many variables in $t = (t_2, t_3, \dots)$. Denote them by $t_J = (t_2, t_3, \dots, t_{d+1})$. Then we get a map

$$T^J : \mathbb{C}^{m+d} \to (\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad (c, t_J) \mapsto (\tilde{\tau}_{W_1(c)}(x, t_J), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{W_N(c)}(x, t_J)). \tag{7.32}$$

Theorem 7.24. *The map T^J can be induced from the map Y^J by a suitable epimorphic polynomial map $f : \mathbb{C}^{m+d} \to \mathbb{C}^m$, that is $T^J = Y^J \circ f$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on m and follows from comparing equations (3.3) and (7.24), c.f. the proof of Theorem 6.32. □

Recall the family of Miura opers $\mu^J : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{M}$, $c \mapsto \mu(Y^J(c))$, assigned to the map Y^J in Section 4.3. In Section 5 we proved that the family μ^J is invariant with respect to all mKdV flows, see Corollary 5.2. Theorems 7.15 and 7.24 clearly give a new proof of this fact.

7.12. **Transitivity of the generation procedure.**

Theorem 7.25. *Let $\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}$ be an mKdV tuple of subspaces. Then there exists a degree increasing sequence $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ and a point $c \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\boldsymbol{W} = \tilde{X}^J(c)$.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_N)$. Let $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be the tuple of the corresponding order subsets. The tuple $\boldsymbol{S}$ is an mKdV tuple of subsets by Lemma 7.17. By Theorem 6.27, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that the mutation $w_j : \boldsymbol{S} \to \boldsymbol{S}^{(j)} = (S_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_j, \dots, S_N)$ is degree decreasing. Let us consider the generation

$$X^{(j)} : A \to \mathrm{Gr}_{mKdV}, \qquad a \mapsto (W_1, \dots, \tilde{W}_j(a), \dots, W_N),$$

from $\boldsymbol{W}$ in the j-th direction. By Corollary 7.8 and Theorem 7.20 there exists a unique $a \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $\deg_{t_1} \tau_{\tilde{W}_j(c)} < \deg_{t_1} \tau_{\tilde{W}_j}$. It is clear that the generation from $X^{(j)}(a)$ in the j-th direction is degree increasing. If the tuple $X^{(j)}(a)$ is not $\boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset}$, then we may apply the same reason to $X^{(j)}(a)$ and decrease the t_1-degree of another coordinate tau-function. After finitely many steps of this procedure we will obtain $\boldsymbol{W}^{\emptyset}$. The theorem is proved. □

References

[AM] M. Adler, J. Moser, *On a class of polynomials connected with the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 1–30

[BF] H. Babujian, R. Flume, *Off-shell Bethe ansatz equation for Gaudin magnets and solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **9** (1994), 2029-2039

[DS] V. G. Drinfel′d and V. V. Sokolov, *Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type*, Current problems in mathematics *24*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 81–180, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984

[Fa] H. K. Farahat, *On Schur Functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. s **3-8** (1958), 621–630

[F] E. Frenkel, *Opers on the projective line, flag manifolds and Bethe ansatz*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 3, 655-705, 783

[KZ] V. Knizhnik, A. Zamolodchikov, *Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions*, Nuclear Phys. B **247** (1984), no. 1, 83-103

[G1] M. Gaudin, *Diagonalisation dune classe dHamiltoniens de spin*, J. Physique **37** (1976), no. 10, 1089-1098

[G2] M. Gaudin, *La fonction donde de Bethe*, Collection du Commissariat a lEnergie Atomique: Serie Scientifique, Masson, Paris, 1983

[L1] A. Lascoux, *Symmetric Functions and Combinatorial Operators on Polynomials*, CBMS Conference on Algebraic Combinatorics, AMS, 2003

[L2] A. Lascoux, *Wroskian of symmetric functions*, http://phalanstere.univ-mlv.fr/∼al/ARTICLES/W

[MTV1] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko *Three sides of the geometric Langlands correspondence for $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ Gaudin model and Bethe vector averaging maps*, Preprint (2009), 1–26; `arXiv:0907.3266`

[MTV2] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko *Bethe algebra of the $\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ Gaudin model and algebra of functions on the critical set of the master function*, New trends in quantum integrable systems, 307-324, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2011

[MTV3] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Schubert calculus and representations of general linear group*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 4, 909–940

[MV1] E. Mukhin, A. Varchenko, *Critical points of master functions and flag varieties*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **6** (2004), 111–163

[MV2] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Miura opers and critical points of master functions*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **3** (2005), 155–182 (electronic)

[MV3] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Norm of a Bethe Vector and the Hessian of the Master Function*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 4, 1012–1028

[RV] N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations*, Geometry, Topology and Physics for R. Bott, Intern. Press, 1995, 293–322

[SV] V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes and Lie Algebra Homology*, Invent. Math. Vol. 106 (1991), 139–194

[ScV] I. Scherbak and A. Varchenko, *Critical points of functions, sl_2 representations, and Fuchsian differential equations with only univalued solutions*, Moscow Math. J. **3**, n. 2 (2003), 621–645

[S] F. Sottile, *Real Solutions to Equations from Geometry*, AMS, 2011

[SW] G. Segal and G. Wilson, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. **61** (1985), 5–65

[Sz] G. Szego, *Orthogonal polynomials*, AMS, 1939

[V1] A. Varchenko, *Multidimensional Hypergeometric Functions and Representation Theory of Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. **21**, World Scientific, 1995

[V2] A. Varchenko, *Special functions, KZ type equations, and Representation theory*, CBMS, Regional Conference Series in Math., n. **98** (2003), AMS

[V3] A. Varchenko, *Bethe Ansatz for Arrangements of Hyperplanes and the Gaudin Model*, math.QA/0408001, Mosc. Math. J. **6** (2006), no. 1, 195–210, 223–224

[V4] A. Varchenko, *Quantum integrable model of an arrangement of hyperplanes*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **7** (2011), Paper 032, 55 pp.

[W] G. Wilson, *Algebraic curves and soliton equations*, Geometry of today (Rome, 1984), 303–329, Progr. Math. **60**, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985